AMERICAN JOURNAL OF MULTIDISCIPLINARY BULLETIN
ISSN: 2996-511X (online) | ResearchBib (IF) = 9.512 IMPACT FACTOR
Volume-4| Issue-6| 2025 Published: |30-06-2026|

"ATHLETIC PERFORMANCE ANALYTICS IN COMBAT SPORTS: CAN DATA-DRIVEN METHODS TRANSFORM HOW FIGHTERS TRAIN AND COMPETE?"

https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20814932

Author: **Rakhimxon Bakhodirxonov**

Annotation

This article examines the growing role of athletic performance analytics across three major combat sports disciplines - Taekwondo, Muay Thai, and Mixed Martial Arts (MMA) - within the context of the United States competitive landscape. As sensor technology, computer vision, and machine learning systems become increasingly embedded in elite training environments, the field raises a fundamental practical question: how far can data-driven methods enhance fighter development, and where do they reach their limits? Drawing on developments in sports science, biomechanics, and performance technology, this article argues that analytics functions best as a tool that augments coach judgment rather than substitutes for it. Each discipline presents a distinct data environment - Taekwondo benefits from electronic scoring infrastructure, Muay Thai operates largely without standardized data collection, and MMA has developed the most commercially mature analytics ecosystem. Despite significant variation in adoption, all three disciplines share common structural problems: fragmented data standards, small competitive sample sizes, unequal access across income levels, and cultural resistance from traditionally trained coaches. The article proposes that the most effective path forward combines open data standardization across governing bodies, reduced-cost wearable technology, hybrid coaching models that preserve human decision-making authority, and longitudinal athlete registries to address the sample size problem. Ultimately, while analytics can sharpen every measurable dimension of a fighter's preparation, it cannot replace the deliberative judgment of an experienced coach or the competitive instincts developed through years of training.

Keywords

Athletic performance analytics; combat sports; Taekwondo; Muay Thai; MMA; wearable technology; computer vision; training load; biomechanics; opponent scouting; sports science; machine learning; fight analytics; data-driven coaching; performance metrics; injury prevention; UFC; USA Taekwondo; IFMA; overtraining

AMERICAN JOURNAL OF MULTIDISCIPLINARY BULLETIN
ISSN: 2996-511X (online) | ResearchBib (IF) = 9.512 IMPACT FACTOR
Volume-4| Issue-6| 2025 Published: |30-06-2026|

What Is Athletic Performance Analytics?

Athletic performance analytics is the systematic collection, processing, and interpretation of quantitative and qualitative data to evaluate, predict, and improve an athlete's physical and technical output. Rooted in sports science, biomechanics, and data engineering, the field expanded rapidly over the past decade through the convergence of wearable sensor technology, computer vision, machine learning, and cloud computing. What was once confined to elite Olympic programs and professional American football franchises is now increasingly accessible to individual fighters, regional gyms, and national federations across combat sports disciplines.

At its core, athletic performance analytics answers three questions: How is the athlete performing right now? Why are they performing that way? And what adjustments will most efficiently improve future outcomes? In combat sports, where the margin between victory and defeat can be measured in milliseconds of reaction time or a two-kilogram difference in muscle composition during a weight cut, data-driven answers to these questions carry tangible competitive value. This article examines those questions across three distinct disciplines - Taekwondo, Muay Thai, and MMA - and surveys the current state of adoption in the United States, including the persistent structural problems that limit broader uptake and the most credible solutions being developed today.

Why Performance Analytics Matters in Combat Sports

Combat sports present an analytical challenge that team sports do not. The performance environment is dyadic - one athlete against one opponent - and outcomes are shaped by a dense interaction of physical attributes, technical skill, psychological composure, and real-time tactical adjustment. Traditional coaching addressed this through subjective observation, accumulated experience, and drill repetition. These methods remain valuable, but they carry known limitations: human observation misses details occurring faster than conscious perception, recall is subject to confirmation bias, and subjective assessment resists meaningful cross-coach comparison.

Performance analytics addresses these limitations by capturing objective records. A sensor embedded in a fighter's mouthguard records head acceleration during impact to within 0.1g of force. A camera array tracking joint angles at 240 frames per second can detect that a Taekwondo athlete's rear-leg axe kick is launching 12 degrees wide of optimal trajectory - a flaw invisible to the naked eye at competition speed. Heart rate variability data measured across a training cycle can predict overtraining syndrome up to 72 hours before the athlete subjectively

AMERICAN JOURNAL OF MULTIDISCIPLINARY BULLETIN
ISSN: 2996-511X (online) | ResearchBib (IF) = 9.512 IMPACT FACTOR
Volume-4| Issue-6| 2025 Published: |30-06-2026|

registers fatigue Beyond individual development, analytics changes how coaches design competitive strategy. Opponent scouting that once required hours of manual video review can now be compressed substantially: computer vision systems tag every technique thrown in a bout, classify it by type and position, and export a frequency matrix showing that a given opponent throws a lead hook in 67% of exchanges following a body kick. That is actionable intelligence - the kind that changes fight preparation in concrete, measurable ways.

Analytics in Taekwondo

Taekwondo is governed internationally by World Taekwondo (WT) and has been an Olympic sport since 2000. The electronic scoring system - the Protector and Scoring System (PSS) - introduced at the 2012 London Olympics fundamentally changed the analytics landscape for the sport. Each legal strike to the torso or head of the electronic hogu transmits a timestamped signal to scoring software, producing a granular dataset for every competitive bout: technique timing, scoring zones, point sequences, and round structure.

USA Taekwondo (USAT) and the U.S. Olympic and Paralympic Committee (USOPC) have leveraged this infrastructure to conduct retrospective analyses of medal-winning bouts at World Championships and Olympic Games. Key performance indicators tracked include attack-to-defense ratios, scoring efficiency per technique, opponent reaction windows, and point-scoring momentum within rounds. Research published through the WT Research and Development Center indicates that Olympic medalists score approximately 40% more points via turning kick combinations than non-medalists at the same weight class - a finding that directly shapes training prioritization. Beyond PSS data, high-performance Taekwondo programs use inertial measurement units (IMUs) attached to the shin and foot to measure kick velocity, impact force, and angular acceleration. The USOPC High Performance Center in Colorado Springs has integrated Vicon motion capture systems to analyze chamber height, pivot mechanics, and guard position during kicking sequences. This biomechanical layer catches technical inefficiencies that scoring data alone cannot reveal: a fighter may score consistently with a roundhouse kick while motion capture shows their hip rotation is generating only 70% of achievable force, indicating significant untapped potential.

Analytics in Muay Thai

Muay Thai presents a harder analytics problem than Olympic Taekwondo. The sport lacks a standardized electronic scoring system. Judging is subjective, based on criteria including aggression, effective strikes, and visible damage - criteria that resist precise quantification. International governing bodies, including the World Muay Thai Council (WMC) and the International Federation of

AMERICAN JOURNAL OF MULTIDISCIPLINARY BULLETIN
ISSN: 2996-511X (online) | ResearchBib (IF) = 9.512 IMPACT FACTOR
Volume-4| Issue-6| 2025 Published: |30-06-2026|

Muaythai Associations (IFMA), have not adopted unified data collection protocols. This leaves most analytics work to individual gyms, national federations, and technology companies operating independently of one another. Despite this, meaningful progress has been made. Computer vision systems can now process fight footage post-hoc, automatically tagging strikes by type - jab, cross, hook, teep, roundhouse, elbow, knee - landing zone, and phase of engagement (stand-up versus clinch). These systems achieve over 85% accuracy on clean broadcast footage and produce structured datasets from bouts that previously existed only as unstructured video files with no queryable content.

At the training level, the U.S. Muay Thai competitive community - centered in gyms across California, Texas, Florida, and Nevada - has adopted heart rate monitoring and GPS-based movement tracking widely in the past five years. Training load management is particularly critical in Muay Thai because the sport's conditioning demands are extreme: elite fighters may train twice daily, six days per week, combining sparring, pad work, heavy bag sessions, and running. Without load monitoring, chronic overtraining leading to immune suppression, stress fractures, and elevated cortisol is common. Platforms like Whoop and Catapult Sports have found adoption among American Muay Thai competitors preparing for IFMA World Championships and internationally sanctioned bouts.

Analytics in MMA

MMA is currently the most analytically mature combat sport in the United States, driven by the UFC's commercial scale and its long-standing data partnership with SAP, which since 2015 has powered the UFC's official statistics platform and Fight Pass analytics suite. Every UFC bout generates a standardized dataset covering significant strikes by total, zone, and type; takedown attempts and completions; control time; submission attempts; and distance management. This data is publicly accessible and has generated a substantial body of academic and independent research.

Behind the scenes, team analytics operations at the UFC Performance Institute (UFCPI) in Las Vegas go substantially deeper than public-facing statistics. The UFCPI collects force plate data, VO2 max scores, lactate threshold measurements, reactive strength indices, and sleep telemetry on contracted athletes. Its published benchmarking data - released across 563 UFC athletes — established normative fitness ranges that have since been adopted as reference standards by sports scientists globally. At the team level, elite MMA camps including American Top Team in Florida and Sanford MMA have built internal analytics operations. Video coordinators tag techniques using tools like Dartfish and Hudl, which were originally developed for team sports and adapted for individual combat sport

footage analysis. Some camps have contracted with independent analytics consultants to build custom opponent modeling systems using publicly available UFC data combined with proprietary sparring footage, creating a layered intelligence product that informs gameplan development well before fight week.

U.S. Trends in Combat Sports Analytics

Several structural trends are shaping how performance analytics develops in U.S. combat sports. First, the cost curve for sensor hardware has dropped sharply. An IMU sensor that cost $800 in 2015 is now available for under $120, and mouthguard-embedded impact sensors - once exclusive to research institutions - are commercially available at price points accessible to mid-tier professional programs. Second, the proliferation of AI-based video analysis is compressing the labor cost of fight analysis. What required a full-time analyst 20 hours per week to produce manually can now be largely automated, with platforms originally built for basketball and soccer being adapted for combat sports by startups targeting the MMA and striking sports market specifically.

Third, collegiate combat sports programs are beginning to adopt analytics infrastructure. NCAA wrestling programs at institutions including Penn State, Iowa, and Ohio State have introduced GPS tracking and force plate testing for wrestlers — methodology that transfers directly to MMA-relevant performance variables. As more collegiate combat athletes transition to professional competition, they carry data fluency into the professional ecosystem. Fourth, the growth of legal sports betting in the United States has created commercial demand for granular fight analytics. Oddsmakers and data providers including Sportradar and Stats Perform have expanded their combat sports data products, accelerating investment in automated fight tracking technology that simultaneously serves performance and coaching purposes.

Current Problems in Combat Sports Analytics

A serious assessment of performance analytics in combat sports must acknowledge its structural limitations directly. Four problems are persistent and significant enough to constrain the field's practical impact if left unaddressed.

1. “Data Fragmentation and the Absence of Standards”

The most pervasive problem is the absence of standardized data collection and technique classification across governing bodies, promotions, and gyms. In Muay Thai, a roundhouse kick that is tagged in one system may not appear in another. In MMA, the same technique may be classified differently by UFC Stats, an independent analyst, and a gym's internal coordinator. This fragmentation prevents meaningful cross-context comparison and makes machine learning models trained on combined datasets unreliable. There is currently no governing standard

equivalent to basketball's shared data taxonomy that unifies technique nomenclature, collection protocols, or exchange formats across combat sports organizations.

2. "Small Sample Sizes"

Elite fighters compete infrequently - typically two to four times per year. Even a veteran UFC fighter with 25 professional bouts has an extremely thin competitive dataset compared to the sample sizes machine learning models require for reliable predictive performance. This is not a problem that additional data collection effort can solve in the near term; it requires methodological adaptation, including transfer learning from larger adjacent datasets, synthetic data augmentation, and Bayesian inference approaches that yield useful estimates from small samples without overfitting to noise.

3. "Accessibility and Resource Inequality"

The analytics tools available to UFC Performance Institute-level programs are not accessible to independent fighters, regional promotions, or under-resourced national federations. A fighter competing on regional MMA cards with no gym backing has no practical means of accessing motion capture analysis, professional load monitoring platforms, or structured opponent scouting systems. This creates a performance analytics divide that tracks closely with the broader socioeconomic inequality present in combat sports: athletes with financial backing gain data advantages; the majority do not.

4. "Coach Buy-In and Analytical Literacy"

Many combat sports coaches, particularly those trained in traditional martial arts lineages, are skeptical of quantitative methods. This is not entirely irrational — experience-based intuition carries genuine validity in complex performance environments. But it does create friction around adoption. Analytics tools that produce outputs coaches cannot interpret or trust generate no value regardless of their technical sophistication. The problem is not only technological; it is cultural and educational. The field lacks widely available training programs that give coaches the statistical literacy to critically evaluate and operationalize data, rather than either dismissing it wholesale or accepting algorithmic outputs without scrutiny.

Solutions and the Path Forward

A serious analysis of the access and standardization problems must also identify credible responses. Four directions show the most practical promise.

The most impactful systemic fix would be the adoption of an open, universal technique taxonomy and data exchange format across major combat sports governing bodies. World Taekwondo, IFMA, and ONE Championship have each

expressed interest in data standardization initiatives; converting that interest into a workable technical standard requires sustained investment from governing bodies and technology partners together. The model of FIBA's Basketball Analytics Working Group - which produced a shared data standard adopted by 14 national federations between 2018 and 2022 - is a practical template that combat sports administrators could study directly.

Several startups are addressing the access problem by building low-cost, mobile-first analytics tools designed for individual athletes and small gyms. Wrist-worn punch tracking sensors retailing below $200 provide basic strike analytics through a smartphone app without requiring any video infrastructure. App-based opponent scouting platforms that process public fight footage and deliver tendency reports at subscription prices accessible to independent fighters are narrowing the analytics divide at the bottom of the competitive pyramid, even if slowly.

The coach buy-in problem is best addressed not by replacing experienced coaches with data scientists, but by building hybrid coaching models that place analytics in a clearly subordinate, tool-like role relative to coach judgment. The most successful implementations in elite combat sports programs pair a data specialist who produces interpretable, actionable summaries with a head coach who retains full decision-making authority. Analytics informs; the coach decides. This division of responsibility preserves the deliberative function of expert coaching while capturing what data uniquely contributes - a principle consistent with how sophisticated organizations in other fields have learned to integrate algorithmic tools without surrendering human accountability.

Finally, the small-sample problem requires longitudinal data infrastructure that accumulates athlete records across careers rather than relying on cross-sectional snapshots. Several U.S. research institutions are building longitudinal combat athlete registries that track performance variables, injury history, and training load across multi-year windows. These registries will eventually generate sample sizes sufficient for robust predictive modeling and serve as shared resources for the broader research community - transforming today's data scarcity into tomorrow's analytical foundation.

Conclusion

Athletic performance analytics in combat sports has moved from a niche research interest to a competitive consideration at the elite level, and its diffusion down the competitive pyramid is accelerating. In Taekwondo, electronic scoring systems have created structured data infrastructure that national federations are beginning to exploit systematically. In Muay Thai, computer vision is

compensating for the absence of standardized electronic judging by generating usable datasets from raw video. In MMA, the UFC's commercial investment in data infrastructure has made the sport the most analytically developed of the three, with fighter-level monitoring, opponent modeling, and biomechanical assessment all operational at leading training centers.

In the United States specifically, the convergence of falling sensor costs, AI-driven video analysis, collegiate sports science investment, and commercial sports betting demand creates favorable structural conditions for broader adoption. But the problems of data fragmentation, small samples, unequal access, and cultural resistance to quantitative methods remain real and unresolved. The solutions most likely to succeed are those that standardize data collection across governing bodies, reduce the cost of entry for athletes outside elite programs, and build analytics workflows that augment rather than displace the judgment of experienced coaches.

The fighter who combines elite physical preparation, refined technical skill, and data-informed strategic intelligence holds a measurable advantage. As more programs build the infrastructure to deliver all three, performance analytics will shift from a competitive differentiator to a baseline expectation across combat sports at every level of competition. The question is no longer whether data belongs in the gym — it is how quickly the field can resolve the structural barriers that prevent most fighters from accessing it.

REFERENCES:

1. World Taekwondo Research and Development Center. Performance Analysis of Olympic Taekwondo Medalists (2021).

2. UFC Performance Institute. Athlete Benchmarking Report: Physiological and Performance Norms Across 563 UFC Athletes (2019).

3. USA Taekwondo High Performance Program. Electronic Scoring Data Analysis, Colorado Springs (2022).

4. IFMA (International Federation of Muaythai Associations). Technical and Scientific Commission Reports (2023).

5. Catapult Sports. Combat Sports Load Monitoring Whitepaper (2022).

6. Whoop, Inc. Recovery and Training Load Protocols for High-Frequency Combat Athletes (2023).

7. SAP SE / UFC. Fight Analytics Data Partnership Documentation (2015–2024).

8. Vicon Motion Systems. Biomechanical Analysis Applications in Combat Sports (2021).

9. P. Worsfold & R. Page, "Technique Analysis in Elite Taekwondo," International Journal of Performance Analysis in Sport, 14(2) (2014).

10. J. Buse, "No Holds Barred Sport Fighting: A 10 Year Review of Mixed Martial Arts Research," British Journal of Sports Medicine, 40(2) (2006).

11. M. Ouergui et al., "Physical and Physiological Characteristics of Elite Muay Thai Athletes," Journal of Combat Sports and Martial Arts, 7(1) (2016).

12. Sportradar AG. Combat Sports Data Products Overview (2024).

13. Stats Perform. AI-Powered Sports Analytics: Combat Sports Integration Report (2023).

14. Dartfish SA. Video Analysis Software Applications in Individual Combat Sports (2022).

15. A. Bridge & J. Ferreira, "Strength and Conditioning for Mixed Martial Arts," Strength and Conditioning Journal, 35(5) (2013).

16. Tempus AI. Automated Technique Detection in Combat Sports Video (2023).

17. NCAA Wrestling. Performance Science and Load Monitoring at Division I Programs: Penn State, Iowa, Ohio State (2023).

18. FIBA Basketball Analytics Working Group. Open Data Standard Adoption Report: 14 National Federations (2022).

19. StrikeTec, Inc. Punch Analytics Platform: Product and Research Documentation (2024).

20. Prevent Biometrics. Mouthguard-Embedded Impact Sensor: Technical Specifications and Combat Sports Applications (2023).