

A MULTIMETHOD APPROACH TO ENGLISH LANGUAGE TEACHING: INTERNATIONAL EXPERIENCES AND PEDAGOGICAL IMPLICATIONS

<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.23080350>

Khurliman Kaipbergenova

Karakalpak State University

Department of Theory and Methodology of Education and Upbringing

Doctoral Student

Abstract

contemporary globalization, technological development, international mobility, and the diversification of educational environments have increased the need for flexible approaches to English language teaching. This article examines international experiences that illustrate the integration of different instructional methods, technologies, interactional formats, and intercultural practices in English language education. The study adopts a qualitative theoretical-analytical design and synthesizes selected research conducted in the United States, Saudi Arabia, the Netherlands, Finland, Singapore, Japan, and the United Kingdom. Particular attention is given to learner-centred instruction, project-based learning, networked learning, multiliteracies, blended and virtual learning, and intercultural communication. The analysis demonstrates that a multimethod approach is characterized not simply by the simultaneous use of several techniques, but by the purposeful coordination of complementary pedagogical resources in accordance with learning objectives, learner needs, and contextual conditions. The reviewed studies indicate that technology can support multimodal and student-centred learning; project-based activities can connect language development with authentic tasks and collaboration; networked environments can expand interaction and modify the teacher's role; and virtual and intercultural activities can connect language learning with communication across cultural boundaries. At the same time, the evidence is context-dependent and should not be interpreted as demonstrating that one combination of methods is universally superior. The article concludes that multimethod teaching is best understood as a flexible framework that enables teachers to integrate complementary approaches while maintaining a coherent pedagogical purpose.

Keywords

English language teaching; multimethod approach; learner-centred learning; project-based learning; networked learning; multiliteracies; blended learning; virtual exchange; intercultural competence; communicative competence.

1. Introduction

In the context of contemporary globalization and technological advancement, effective English language teaching has become an increasingly important area of educational development. English is used not only as a subject of formal education but also as a means of academic communication, professional interaction, international cooperation, and access to digital information. Consequently, contemporary language education requires instructional models that can address several dimensions of learning simultaneously: linguistic knowledge, communicative competence, learner autonomy, collaboration, critical thinking, digital literacy, and intercultural awareness.

Within this context, a multimethod approach can be understood as the purposeful integration of complementary didactic, communicative, technological, and culture-oriented procedures within a coherent instructional design. Such an approach should not be confused with an indiscriminate mixture of methods. Its central principle is methodological flexibility: a teacher selects particular procedures because they correspond to a specific learning objective, learner need, content area, or communicative situation. This understanding is compatible with the broader tradition of language-teaching methodology, in which methods and techniques are adapted to pedagogical purposes and classroom conditions [1; 2].

International research provides a number of examples relevant to this perspective. Grimes and Warschauer [3], in their multi-method case study of one-to-one laptop programmes in three California schools, found that technology-supported instruction facilitated writing-intensive, information-rich, multimodal, and student-centred practices. Their study is especially relevant because the researchers combined interviews, observations, surveys, and analysis of student work rather than relying on a single source of evidence.

In the Netherlands, van den Broek et al. [4] examined EFL teachers' self-reported practices aimed at stimulating language awareness. Their findings showed that many awareness-raising practices integrated multiple topics, authentic contexts, interaction, and opportunities for learners to reflect on language. The study therefore illustrates how language learning can incorporate reflection, interaction, discovery, and learner participation within the same instructional process.

Project-based learning provides another dimension of methodological integration. Research conducted in Saudi Arabia has examined the use of project-based instruction in EFL contexts. Alsamani and Daif-Allah [5], for example,

investigated project-based instruction in an ESP classroom at Qassim University and proposed a course-design framework based on learner needs and student project work. More recent research by Mohamed [6] examined how EFL teachers in Saudi higher education adapt multimodal project-based learning to learners' language levels and needs.

Networked learning also demonstrates the importance of integrating technological and social dimensions of instruction. de Laat, Lally, Lipponen, and Simons [7] examined online teaching in networked learning communities using a multi-method research design and focused on the changing role of the teacher. Their work demonstrates that online learning environments require teachers to facilitate, organize, and support interaction rather than simply transmit information.

Singapore provides an important example of multimodal and multiliteracies-oriented English education. Research conducted by the National Institute of Education has investigated the integration of multimodal texts and multiliteracies into English language classrooms. Lim et al. [8] describe a design-based project involving teachers and students and focusing on interaction with different media. Related classroom research has examined teachers' perceptions and practices in implementing multiliteracies in Singapore secondary schools [9].

In Japan, virtual exchange represents another form of methodological integration. Hagley [10] describes a case of incorporating virtual exchange into the English communication classroom, thereby connecting language practice with online interaction across geographical and cultural boundaries. In the United Kingdom, Schweisfurth and Gu [11] used a two-year multi-method research project to examine international students' experiences in higher education and the possibilities and limits of interculturality in university life.

The purpose of this article is to examine these international experiences comparatively and to identify the pedagogical dimensions that make a multimethod approach relevant to contemporary English language teaching. The article focuses on the integration of technology, project-based activities, learner-centred instruction, networked collaboration, multimodality, virtual exchange, and intercultural learning.

2. Materials and Methods

The study employed a qualitative theoretical-analytical design. The research material consisted of peer-reviewed journal articles, scholarly reports, and academic book chapters that document approaches to English language teaching and related educational practices in different national contexts. Sources were selected according to their relevance to at least one of the following dimensions:

technological integration, learner-centred learning, project-based learning, networked interaction, multimodality, blended learning, virtual exchange, or intercultural education.

The analysis was conducted through comparative reading, thematic classification, and synthesis. First, the selected studies were examined to identify their educational context, participants or target learners, instructional practices, and principal findings. Second, the studies were grouped according to recurring methodological dimensions. Third, the findings were compared in order to identify common principles and contextual differences. The analysis did not attempt to calculate a common effect size because the reviewed studies differ substantially in research design, participants, educational level, and learning objectives.

Five analytical dimensions were used: (1) the role of technology and digital resources; (2) learner participation and autonomy; (3) collaboration and interaction; (4) multimodal and project-based learning; and (5) intercultural communication. Particular attention was given to the relationship between the method selected and the pedagogical purpose for which it was used.

The study is therefore a synthesis rather than an experimental investigation. Its objective is to organize existing evidence into a coherent pedagogical interpretation of multimethod English language teaching and to identify areas that can inform further empirical research.

3. Results

3.1. Learner-Centred and Technology-Enhanced Teaching: The Experience of the United States

The U.S. case examined by Grimes and Warschauer [3] demonstrates how technological resources can be integrated with student-centred and multimodal instruction. Their study investigated a one-to-one laptop programme in three diverse California schools. The research employed interviews, observations, surveys, and analysis of student work. The authors reported that the programme facilitated writing-intensive, information-rich, multimodal, and student-centred instruction. At the same time, their findings show that technology alone does not automatically produce improved educational outcomes: the study reported different patterns of achievement across the first and second years of implementation.

From the perspective of a multimethod approach, the significance of this case lies in the relationship between the technology and the instructional practices surrounding it. Laptops supported writing, access to information, multimodal production, and student participation. Thus, the technological component functioned as a resource within a broader pedagogical system rather than as an

independent method. This supports the principle that digital tools should be selected and integrated according to clear didactic objectives.

3.2. Project-Based Learning and Intercultural Competence: Examples from Saudi Arabia and the Netherlands

Saudi higher education provides examples of project-based instruction in English-language and ESP contexts. Alsamani and Daif-Allah [5] examined project-based instruction in the Saudi ESP classroom at Qassim University. Their study focused on Computer Science and Information Technology students and proposed an ESP course-design framework in which student project work was based on an analysis of learners' needs. This is relevant to a multimethod perspective because project work can bring together language learning, disciplinary content, learner needs, research, collaboration, and presentation.

A later study by Mohamed [6] investigated multimodal project-based learning in Saudi Arabian higher education. Eleven EFL teachers with experience in project-based learning participated in interviews. The study found that teachers adapted project tasks to learners' language levels, used scaffolding, and incorporated multimodal elements. The findings also identified teacher autonomy and flexibility as important factors in project-based implementation. These results reinforce the view that a multimethod approach requires adaptation rather than mechanical application of a fixed procedure.

The Dutch study by van den Broek et al. [4] adds a language-awareness dimension. The researchers collected 41 teaching practices from ten EFL teachers in Dutch upper-secondary education. Approximately half of the reported practices could be characterized as awareness-raising. Such practices frequently included authentic contexts, interaction, student-centred discovery, and opportunities for reflection on language. These characteristics are compatible with project-based and communicative learning because they require learners to use language as a resource for thinking and interaction.

Taken together, the Saudi and Dutch cases indicate that methodological integration can connect language learning with authentic contexts, learner reflection, collaboration, and purposeful communication. The specific combination of methods, however, depends on the educational level and institutional context.

3.3. Social and Interactive Approaches: Networked Learning and Multimodality

The study by de Laat et al. [7] provides evidence concerning networked learning environments. The researchers investigated the online teaching styles of two teachers who each tutored a networked learning community and used a multi-method design to triangulate and contextualize their findings. The study is

important for English language education because networked environments can create opportunities for discussion, collaboration, peer interaction, and teacher facilitation beyond the physical classroom.

In such environments, the teacher's role becomes more complex. Instead of concentrating exclusively on presentation of content, the teacher must organize interaction, guide learners, provide feedback, and support collaborative knowledge construction. Consequently, networked learning can be incorporated into a multimethod model alongside face-to-face instruction, individual tasks, collaborative activities, and digital resources.

Singapore offers a complementary example through the integration of multiliteracies into English language education. The National Institute of Education reports that the Singapore English curriculum has progressively incorporated multimodality and that research on multiliteracies has examined teachers' and students' perceptions and practices [8]. The project used classroom observations, surveys, focus groups, and interviews across five schools. A later classroom study examined 12 multiliteracies lessons taught by five secondary English teachers across three schools and explored how teachers implemented multiliteracies pedagogy [9].

The Singapore evidence suggests that English language learning increasingly involves interaction with images, videos, websites, and other multimodal texts. This broadens the concept of language practice beyond the exclusive production and interpretation of conventional written texts. Within a multimethod framework, multimodal resources can therefore be combined with discussion, analysis, writing, presentation, and collaborative production.

3.4. Online, Blended, and Virtual Approaches: Experiences from Singapore and Japan

The Singapore case reported by Yeo [12] demonstrates how blended delivery can combine face-to-face and online learning. The case, included in the volume *Language Learning with Technology: Perspectives from Asia*, describes blended professional development for ASEAN teachers of English. The learning design incorporated online resources and interaction alongside other forms of instruction. This illustrates how learning can be distributed across different modes without treating online learning as a replacement for all face-to-face activity.

Japan provides another example through virtual exchange. Hagley [10] describes the incorporation of virtual exchange into the English communication classroom. Virtual exchange can connect learners with interlocutors outside their immediate classroom environment and can combine communication practice with

intercultural interaction. The value of such an approach lies not simply in the technology itself but in the communicative purpose created by the technology.

These examples demonstrate that online and blended learning can support several functions simultaneously: access to materials, independent learning, communication, collaboration, reflection, and intercultural exchange. However, the effectiveness of such arrangements depends on instructional design, teacher guidance, learner participation, and access to appropriate technological resources.

3.5. Intercultural Communication and International Higher Education: The United Kingdom

Schweisfurth and Gu [11] investigated the experiences of international undergraduate students in UK higher education through a two-year multi-method research project. Their study examined the influences on students' experiences and the strategies they employed and considered the possibilities and limits of interculturality in university life.

Although the study was not designed as a direct experiment in English language teaching, it is relevant to the broader pedagogical context of English education because language learning in international higher education cannot be separated entirely from intercultural interaction. Learners may need to interpret different communicative practices, participate in culturally diverse groups, and negotiate meaning with people whose linguistic and educational backgrounds differ from their own.

This dimension complements the communicative and technological components identified in the other studies. A multimethod approach can therefore incorporate intercultural tasks, collaborative discussions, virtual exchange, authentic materials, and reflective activities to connect language development with broader communicative experience.

3.6. Main Pedagogical Results of Methodological Integration

The comparative analysis identifies several recurring results. First, technological resources can expand the range of language-learning activities when they are integrated with clearly defined pedagogical objectives [3; 8; 12]. Second, learner-centred and awareness-oriented practices can create opportunities for reflection, discovery, interaction, and active participation [4]. Third, project-based learning can connect language learning with authentic tasks, disciplinary content, collaboration, and learner autonomy [5; 6]. Fourth, networked and virtual environments can extend communication beyond the classroom and change the teacher's role from information provider to facilitator and organizer [7; 10]. Fifth, multimodal and intercultural practices can broaden the contexts in which learners interpret and produce meaning [8; 9; 11].

These results suggest that the principal advantage of a multimethod approach is flexibility. Visual, verbal, auditory, digital, collaborative, and experiential forms of learning can be combined when they serve a common instructional objective. The approach also creates opportunities for real-life language use, intercultural communication, creative and critical thinking, teamwork, and independent learning.

At the same time, methodological flexibility does not mean that every lesson should employ a large number of methods. Excessive or poorly coordinated variation may increase cognitive and organizational demands. The international evidence instead supports purposeful selection: teachers should determine which method, resource, or interactional format is most appropriate for the intended learning outcome.

4. Discussion

The results demonstrate that the concept of a multimethod approach should be understood functionally rather than numerically. The defining feature is not the quantity of methods used in one lesson but the way different pedagogical elements complement one another. The U.S. laptop study [3] shows that technology becomes educationally meaningful when it supports writing, information-rich learning, multimodal production, and student-centred instruction. The Dutch study [4] similarly demonstrates that language-awareness practices can combine authentic contexts, interaction, reflection, and student-centred discovery.

Project-based learning adds an important dimension because it organizes language use around a meaningful product, task, or problem. The Saudi studies [5; 6] demonstrate that project work can be adapted to learners' needs and language levels and can incorporate research, collaboration, presentation, and multimodal production. This is particularly relevant to contemporary English language teaching because learners increasingly need to use English for academic and professional purposes rather than merely reproduce isolated linguistic forms.

Networked learning changes the spatial and social organization of instruction. The work of de Laat et al. [7] demonstrates that online learning communities require active teacher facilitation and organization. This finding is consistent with the broader multimethod principle: when the learning environment changes, the teacher's methods and responsibilities must also change. Online discussion, collaborative writing, peer feedback, and virtual exchange can therefore complement rather than simply replace classroom instruction.

The Singapore research on multiliteracies [8; 9] further expands the concept of language learning by emphasizing multimodal meaning-making. Contemporary learners encounter language through written texts, images, video, websites, and

digital platforms. Consequently, English language education can benefit from tasks that require learners to interpret and produce different types of texts. Such activities may also provide opportunities for creative expression and critical evaluation of information.

The intercultural dimension is particularly significant in international and digitally connected learning environments. Schweisfurth and Gu [11] show that interculturality in higher education has both possibilities and limits, while Hagley [10] demonstrates how virtual exchange can connect English communication with cross-cultural interaction. These findings suggest that communicative competence should be considered in relation to context, interlocutor, purpose, and cultural expectations.

At the same time, the international evidence does not support the assumption that one fixed multimethod model can be transferred unchanged from one country to another. Educational systems differ in curriculum, teacher preparation, technological infrastructure, assessment practices, class size, and institutional resources. The Saudi examples [5; 6], the Dutch study [4], the U.S. case [3], the Singapore research [8; 9; 12], the Japanese virtual-exchange case [10], and the UK higher-education study [11] illustrate different configurations of methodological integration.

There are also methodological limitations in the present synthesis. The reviewed studies use different designs and investigate different populations, ranging from school students and EFL teachers to university students and international learners. Some studies focus on language awareness, others on technology, project work, multiliteracies, or interculturality. Therefore, the results should be interpreted as evidence of recurring pedagogical patterns rather than as a direct comparative ranking of teaching models.

Nevertheless, the synthesis has an important implication for English language education. Teachers can use a multimethod framework to select and coordinate complementary practices while maintaining a clear pedagogical objective. The framework can include direct instruction when explanation is needed, communicative activities when interaction is required, project-based tasks when learners need to apply knowledge, digital resources when multimodal or flexible access is useful, and intercultural activities when communication across perspectives is an intended outcome.

Thus, a multimethod approach can be considered a broad organizational framework for contemporary English language teaching. Its effectiveness depends on pedagogical coherence, contextual adaptation, teacher competence, and purposeful integration rather than on the simple accumulation of methods.

5. Conclusion

The international experiences analyzed in this article demonstrate that contemporary English language teaching increasingly involves the integration of different pedagogical procedures, technological resources, interactional formats, and learning environments. The evidence from the United States, Saudi Arabia, the Netherlands, Finland-related networked-learning research, Singapore, Japan, and the United Kingdom reveals common principles but also substantial contextual variation.

The principal result of the analysis is that a multimethod approach is most productive when different methods are coordinated around clearly defined learning objectives. Technology can support multimodal and student-centred learning; project-based activities can connect language with authentic tasks and collaboration; networked learning can expand interaction and modify the teacher's role; and virtual and intercultural activities can connect English learning with communication across geographical and cultural boundaries.

The approach therefore should not be understood as a universal fixed set of techniques. Instead, it provides teachers with a flexible framework for adapting instruction to learner needs, content, institutional conditions, and available technologies. Further empirical research is needed to investigate how particular combinations of methods influence communicative competence, learner autonomy, intercultural competence, creative thinking, and linguistic creativity in different educational contexts.

REFERENCES:

1. Richards, J. C., & Rodgers, T. S. (2014). *Approaches and Methods in Language Teaching* (3rd ed.). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
2. Brown, H. D. (2007). *Teaching by Principles: An Interactive Approach to Language Pedagogy* (3rd ed.). White Plains, NY: Pearson Education.
3. Grimes, D., & Warschauer, M. (2008). Learning with laptops: A multi-method case study. *Journal of Educational Computing Research*, 38(3), 305–332. <https://doi.org/10.2190/EC.38.3.d>
4. van den Broek, E. W. R., Oolbekkink-Marchand, H. W., van Kemenade, A. M. C., Meijer, P. C., & Unsworth, S. (2022). Stimulating language awareness in the foreign language classroom: Exploring EFL teaching practices. *The Language Learning Journal*, 50(1), 59–73. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09571736.2019.1688857>

5. Alsamani, A. S., & Daif-Allah, A. S. (2016). Introducing project-based instruction in the Saudi ESP classroom: A study in Qassim University. *English Language Teaching*, 9(1), 51–64. <https://doi.org/10.5539/elt.v9n1p51>
6. Mohamed, A. M. (2023). Investigating the benefits of multimodal project-based learning in teaching English to international students. *International Journal of Educational Innovation and Research*, 2(2), 114–129. <https://doi.org/10.31949/ijeir.v2i2.5085>
7. de Laat, M., Lally, V., Lipponen, L., & Simons, R.-J. (2007). Online teaching in networked learning communities: A multi-method approach to studying the role of the teacher. *Instructional Science*, 35(3), 257–286. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11251-006-9007-0>
8. Lim, F. V., Chia, A., Weninger, C., Tan-Chia, L., Nguyen, T. T. H., Tan, J. M., Peters, C. M., Adams, J. L., Towndrow, P. A., & Unsworth, L. (2022). Multiliteracies in the Singapore English language classroom: Designing learning (Report No. DEV 01/18 VL). National Institute of Education, Nanyang Technological University.
9. Lim, F. V., Weninger, C., & Nguyen, T. T. H. (2022). “From the beginning, I think it was a stretch”: Teachers’ perceptions and practices in teaching multiliteracies. *English Teaching: Practice & Critique*, 21(4), 379–396. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ETPC-04-2021-0025>
10. Hagley, E. (2021). Case study 4, Japan: Incorporating virtual exchange into the English communication classroom. In L. Miller & J. G. Wu (Eds.), *Language Learning with Technology: Perspectives from Asia* (pp. 113–121). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-16-2697-5_9
11. Schweisfurth, M., & Gu, Q. (2009). Exploring the experiences of international students in UK higher education: Possibilities and limits of interculturality in university life. *Intercultural Education*, 20(5), 463–473. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14675980903371332>
12. Yeo, M. (2021). Case study 3, Singapore: “To blend or not to blend – That is not the question” – Blended delivery to ASEAN teachers of English. In L. Miller & J. G. Wu (Eds.), *Language Learning with Technology: Perspectives from Asia* (pp. 101–112). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-16-2697-5_8