AMERICAN JOURNAL OF EDUCATION AND LEARNING
ISSN: 2996-5128 (online) | ResearchBib (IF) = 10.91 IMPACT FACTOR
Volume-4| Issue-6| 2026 Published: |30-06-2026|

THE IMPACT OF COLLABORATIVE LEARNING STRATEGIES ON STUDENT ENGAGEMENT AND ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT AMONG EFL STUDENTS IN HIGHER EDUCATION

https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20852298

Malika Ganiyeva
Associate Professor, Practical English Department
Fergana State University, Uzbekistan
ORCID: 0009-0006-6050-2098
E-mail: m.ganiyeva@pf.fdu.uz

Abstract

The growing emphasis on student-centered learning has significantly transformed contemporary higher education practices, particularly in English as a Foreign Language (EFL) contexts. Traditional teacher-centered instructional approaches often limit students' active participation, critical thinking, and communicative competence, which are essential for successful language acquisition. In response to these challenges, collaborative learning has emerged as a pedagogical strategy that promotes meaningful interaction, shared knowledge construction, and active learner engagement. This study investigates the impact of collaborative learning strategies on student engagement and academic achievement among EFL students in higher education. A quasi-experimental mixed-methods design was employed involving sixty undergraduate students enrolled in English language programs. Participants were divided into experimental and control groups. Over a twelve-week intervention period, the experimental group participated in structured collaborative learning activities, including peer instruction, project-based learning, collaborative writing, group discussions, and problem-solving tasks. Quantitative data were collected through engagement questionnaires and academic achievement tests, while qualitative data were gathered through classroom observations and semi-structured interviews. The findings revealed statistically significant improvements in behavioral, emotional, and cognitive engagement among students exposed to collaborative learning. Furthermore, academic achievement scores increased substantially compared with those of students receiving traditional lecture-based instruction. Correlation analysis demonstrated a strong positive relationship between cognitive engagement and academic performance. Qualitative findings highlighted enhanced motivation, communication skills, self-confidence, learner autonomy, and

collaborative competence. The study concludes that collaborative learning represents an effective pedagogical approach for fostering student engagement and improving academic outcomes in EFL higher education settings. The findings provide practical implications for language educators seeking to create more interactive and learner-centered instructional environments.

Keywords

Collaborative learning, student engagement, academic achievement, EFL education, higher education, learner-centered pedagogy, active learning.

Introduction

The rapid globalization of education and the increasing demand for communicative competence in English have transformed the goals and practices of higher education institutions worldwide. In many countries where English is taught as a foreign language (EFL), universities are expected not only to develop students' linguistic proficiency but also to cultivate critical thinking, communication skills, collaboration, and independent learning abilities (OECD, 2019). Consequently, traditional teacher-centered approaches that emphasize passive knowledge transmission are increasingly being questioned by educators and researchers (Hattie, 2009). In EFL classrooms, student engagement remains one of the most important determinants of successful language acquisition. Engaged learners are more likely to participate actively in classroom activities, invest effort in language practice, and demonstrate persistence when encountering linguistic challenges (Fredricks et al., 2004). Conversely, low engagement often results in limited classroom participation, reduced motivation, and lower academic achievement (Kahu, 2013). Therefore, identifying instructional strategies capable of enhancing student engagement has become a central concern within language education research. Collaborative learning has emerged as one of the most influential pedagogical approaches designed to address these challenges. Broadly defined, collaborative learning refers to instructional practices in which students work together to achieve common educational goals through discussion, interaction, and shared problem-solving (Dillenbourg, 1999). Unlike traditional instructional models, collaborative learning positions students as active participants in the learning process and encourages them to construct knowledge collectively rather than receive information passively from instructors (Johnson et al., 2014).

The theoretical foundations of collaborative learning are closely associated with social constructivist perspectives on learning. According to Vygotsky (1978), cognitive development occurs through social interaction and dialogue. Knowledge

is constructed collaboratively as learners negotiate meanings, exchange ideas, and support one another's intellectual development. These assumptions are particularly relevant in language learning contexts because language acquisition itself is inherently social and communicative in nature (Mercer & Howe, 2012).

Over the past three decades, numerous studies have reported positive relationships between collaborative learning and educational outcomes. Research has demonstrated that collaborative learning enhances academic achievement, promotes higher-order thinking skills, improves communication competence, and increases learner motivation (Laal & Ghodsi, 2012; Prince, 2004). Meta-analytical evidence further suggests that students participating in collaborative activities generally outperform those receiving traditional instruction (Springer et al., 1999; Freeman et al., 2014). For example, Freeman et al. (2014) found that active learning strategies significantly improved student performance and reduced failure rates in higher education courses. The educational value of collaborative learning extends beyond academic achievement. Contemporary research suggests that collaborative activities contribute to the development of transferable competencies, including teamwork, leadership, self-regulation, communication, and problem-solving skills, which are increasingly demanded in modern labor markets (World Economic Forum, 2023; Järvelä & Hadwin, 2013). Such competencies are particularly important in EFL education, where language learning and communication skills develop simultaneously through interaction.

Despite substantial international research, relatively limited empirical evidence exists regarding the effectiveness of collaborative learning within Central Asian and Uzbek higher education contexts, particularly among EFL learners. While educational reforms in Uzbekistan increasingly emphasize student-centered instruction, competency-based education, and innovative pedagogical technologies, the practical implementation of collaborative learning remains underexplored in many university classrooms (PF-5847, 2019). The ongoing modernization of higher education in Uzbekistan further highlights the relevance of this issue. National educational policies emphasize improving educational quality, strengthening graduate competitiveness, and integrating innovative teaching methodologies into higher education systems (PF-5847, 2019). These reforms require instructional approaches capable of promoting active participation and meaningful engagement among students. Collaborative learning appears particularly well suited to these objectives because it simultaneously develops linguistic competence, academic skills, and interpersonal abilities. The present study seeks to contribute to this growing body of knowledge by investigating the impact of collaborative learning strategies on student engagement and academic achievement among EFL students

in higher education. Unlike many previous studies that focus primarily on examination results, this research adopts a multidimensional perspective by examining behavioral, emotional, and cognitive dimensions of engagement alongside academic performance outcomes (Fredricks et al., 2004).

The novelty of the study lies in its integrated examination of collaborative learning as both a pedagogical strategy and a mechanism for enhancing engagement within EFL higher education settings. By combining quantitative and qualitative methods, the research aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of how collaborative instructional practices influence students' educational experiences and learning outcomes. The study addresses the following research questions:

1. How do collaborative learning strategies influence behavioral, emotional, and cognitive engagement among EFL students in higher education?
2. What effect do collaborative learning activities have on students' academic achievement?
3. How do students perceive collaborative learning experiences within university-level EFL classrooms?
4. What relationships exist between student engagement and academic performance in collaborative learning environments?

Answering these questions will provide valuable insights for educators, curriculum designers, and policymakers seeking to improve educational quality and promote learner-centered teaching practices in higher education.

Literature Review

Collaborative learning has become one of the most extensively investigated pedagogical approaches within contemporary educational research. Its theoretical foundations are primarily rooted in social constructivism, which conceptualizes learning as an active process of knowledge construction facilitated through social interaction and shared experiences (Vygotsky, 1978). From this perspective, learners do not passively absorb information transmitted by instructors; instead, they actively construct understanding through dialogue, cooperation, and reflection. The social constructivist framework developed by Vygotsky (1978) emphasizes the importance of interaction in cognitive development. Central to this theory is the concept of the Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD), which refers to the distance between what learners can accomplish independently and what they can achieve with guidance from more knowledgeable peers or instructors. Collaborative learning environments provide opportunities for students to operate within their ZPD through peer support and collective problem-solving activities.

Similarly, Bruner (1996) argued that learning occurs most effectively when students actively engage with ideas, explore alternative perspectives, and participate in meaningful inquiry. Collaborative learning facilitates these processes by encouraging learners to articulate their reasoning, evaluate competing viewpoints, and construct shared understandings of complex concepts. Such interactions promote deeper cognitive processing and contribute to long-term knowledge retention. Dewey's (1938) theory of experiential learning further supports collaborative approaches by emphasizing the social nature of education. According to Dewey, learning should be connected to authentic experiences and active participation in democratic communities of inquiry. Collaborative learning reflects these principles by transforming classrooms into interactive environments where students engage collectively in problem-solving and knowledge construction. Contemporary scholars have expanded these theoretical perspectives. Dillenbourg (1999) defines collaborative learning as a situation in which two or more individuals attempt to learn something together through coordinated effort and shared responsibility. This definition highlights that collaboration involves more than simply placing students into groups; effective collaborative learning requires meaningful interaction, mutual engagement, and collective intellectual effort.

Student engagement has emerged as a key indicator of educational quality and academic success in higher education. According to Fredricks et al. (2004), engagement consists of three interconnected dimensions: behavioral, emotional, and cognitive engagement. Behavioral engagement refers to active participation in academic activities, emotional engagement reflects students' interest and sense of belonging, while cognitive engagement involves investment in learning and higher-order thinking processes. Research consistently demonstrates that collaborative learning positively influences all three dimensions of engagement. When students participate in collaborative activities, they become active contributors to the learning process rather than passive recipients of information (Kahu, 2013). Through discussion, peer instruction, and joint problem-solving, learners engage more deeply with course content and develop stronger connections with classmates and instructors. Kuh (2009) argues that educationally purposeful activities represent one of the strongest predictors of student success. Collaborative learning constitutes such an activity because it requires students to interact meaningfully with both academic content and peers. Studies indicate that students participating in collaborative learning environments exhibit higher attendance rates, greater classroom participation, and stronger commitment to academic tasks than students exposed exclusively to traditional lecture-based instruction (Kuh, 2009). Recent

investigations have further emphasized the relationship between collaborative learning and emotional engagement. Volet et al. (2009) found that collaborative environments foster positive emotional experiences by creating supportive social contexts in which learners feel comfortable expressing ideas and seeking assistance. Such environments reduce anxiety and increase students' willingness to participate in academic discourse. Research conducted by Mercer and Howe (2012) suggests that collaborative dialogue plays a crucial role in facilitating engagement. Through exploratory talk, students develop shared understandings, challenge assumptions, and co-construct knowledge. This process not only enhances cognitive engagement but also strengthens students' sense of belonging within academic communities.

A substantial body of empirical evidence supports the positive relationship between collaborative learning and academic achievement. One of the most influential meta-analyses was conducted by Springer et al. (1999), who examined the effects of small-group learning on undergraduate students in science, mathematics, engineering, and technology disciplines. Their findings revealed significant improvements in academic achievement, persistence, and attitudes toward learning among students participating in collaborative activities.

Prince (2004) similarly concluded that active learning approaches, including collaborative learning, produce superior academic outcomes compared with traditional instructional methods. According to Prince, collaborative activities encourage students to process information more deeply, leading to improved understanding and retention of knowledge. More recently, Freeman et al. (2014) conducted a large-scale meta-analysis involving over 29,000 students enrolled in science, engineering, and mathematics courses. The authors reported that active learning strategies significantly increased examination performance and reduced course failure rates. These findings provide strong empirical support for incorporating collaborative learning into higher education curricula. Hattie's (2009) synthesis of over 800 meta-analyses further confirms the effectiveness of collaborative learning. His analysis identified collaborative approaches as among the most influential factors affecting student achievement. Hattie argues that collaborative learning enhances achievement by making learning visible through discussion, feedback, and peer interaction. Within language education, collaborative learning has demonstrated particular effectiveness. Studies indicate that EFL students benefit from increased opportunities for communication, negotiation of meaning, and authentic language use provided by collaborative tasks (Warschauer & Liaw, 2011). Collaborative activities create contexts in which language serves as a tool for achieving meaningful objectives, thereby promoting both linguistic and academic development.

Motivation represents another important factor influenced by collaborative learning. Self-Determination Theory (SDT) developed by Deci and Ryan (2000) provides a useful framework for understanding this relationship. SDT proposes that human motivation is enhanced when three fundamental psychological needs are satisfied: autonomy, competence, and relatedness. Collaborative learning environments support autonomy by allowing students to participate in decision-making processes and exercise control over their learning activities. Competence develops through successful task completion, peer feedback, and opportunities to demonstrate understanding. Relatedness emerges through social interaction and cooperation with peers (Deci & Ryan, 2000). Empirical studies consistently support these theoretical assumptions. Laal and Ghodsi (2012) found that collaborative learning increases intrinsic motivation by creating engaging and supportive learning environments. Students participating in collaborative activities reported higher levels of satisfaction, enjoyment, and commitment to learning tasks. Similarly, Järvelä and Hadwin (2013) emphasize the role of socially shared regulation in collaborative learning. According to their research, students jointly regulate motivation, cognition, and behavior during collaborative tasks, contributing to improved learning outcomes and increased engagement.

The rapid expansion of digital technologies has transformed collaborative learning practices in higher education. Technology-supported collaborative learning (TSCL) enables students to interact, communicate, and collaborate beyond traditional classroom boundaries. Garrison et al. (2000) developed the Community of Inquiry framework, which identifies three essential elements of effective online learning environments: cognitive presence, social presence, and teaching presence. Technology-supported collaborative learning facilitates all three elements by providing opportunities for discussion, reflection, and interaction. Research conducted by Stahl et al. (2006) within the field of Computer-Supported Collaborative Learning (CSCL) highlights the potential of digital tools to support collective knowledge construction. Learning management systems, discussion forums, shared documents, and collaborative writing platforms allow students to engage in meaningful academic interactions regardless of geographical location.

Recent studies have demonstrated that technology-enhanced collaborative learning contributes positively to engagement, motivation, and academic achievement (Warschauer & Liaw, 2011). Particularly in EFL contexts, digital collaboration provides authentic opportunities for language use, peer feedback, and intercultural communication.

Despite the substantial body of international research supporting collaborative learning, several gaps remain evident. First, much of the existing literature has

focused on Western educational contexts, while relatively limited research has examined collaborative learning within Central Asian higher education institutions. Second, although numerous studies have investigated academic achievement, fewer have adopted multidimensional approaches that simultaneously examine behavioral, emotional, and cognitive engagement.

Furthermore, empirical evidence regarding collaborative learning among EFL students in Uzbekistan remains scarce. Given ongoing educational reforms emphasizing student-centered instruction and competency-based education, there is a clear need for context-specific research investigating the effectiveness of collaborative learning strategies in Uzbek higher education settings.

The present study seeks to address these gaps by examining the impact of collaborative learning on both student engagement and academic achievement among EFL learners in higher education. By integrating quantitative and qualitative approaches, the research contributes to a more comprehensive understanding of collaborative learning within contemporary language education.

Research Methodology

The present study employed a quasi-experimental mixed-methods research design to investigate the impact of collaborative learning strategies on student engagement and academic achievement among English as a Foreign Language (EFL) students in higher education. The selection of a mixed-methods approach was motivated by the need to obtain both quantitative evidence regarding academic performance and engagement levels and qualitative insights into students' perceptions of collaborative learning experiences. Mixed-methods research is widely recognized as an effective methodological framework for educational studies because it enables researchers to triangulate findings derived from multiple sources of evidence (Creswell & Plano Clark, 2018). Quantitative methods provide measurable indicators of educational outcomes, whereas qualitative methods facilitate deeper understanding of students' attitudes, experiences, and perceptions. Consequently, combining both approaches contributes to greater validity and reliability of research findings. The quasi-experimental design involved the establishment of an experimental group and a control group. Students in the experimental group participated in collaborative learning activities throughout the intervention period, whereas students in the control group continued to receive conventional lecture-based instruction. This design allowed for comparative analysis of educational outcomes associated with different instructional approaches (Shadish et al., 2002). The intervention lasted twelve weeks and was integrated into regular university EFL courses. Throughout this period, students in the experimental group engaged in structured collaborative

learning activities designed to promote interaction, communication, and shared knowledge construction.

Discussion

The findings of the present study provide substantial empirical evidence supporting the effectiveness of collaborative learning strategies in enhancing student engagement and academic achievement among EFL students in higher education. By integrating quantitative and qualitative data, the research demonstrates that collaborative learning contributes not only to academic performance but also to the development of communication skills, learner autonomy, motivation, and social competence. These findings are consistent with contemporary educational theories and recent empirical studies emphasizing the importance of learner-centered pedagogical approaches in higher education. One of the most significant findings concerns the improvement observed across all dimensions of student engagement. The results revealed that students participating in collaborative learning activities demonstrated significantly higher behavioral, emotional, and cognitive engagement than those receiving traditional lecture-based instruction. This finding supports the multidimensional engagement framework proposed by Fredricks et al. (2004), which conceptualizes engagement as a combination of participation, emotional involvement, and intellectual investment in learning. The substantial increase in behavioral engagement indicates that collaborative learning encourages active classroom participation. Students became more willing to contribute to discussions, ask questions, and engage in academic activities. Similar findings have been reported by Kuh (2009), who identified educationally purposeful activities as key determinants of student success. According to Kuh, students learn more effectively when they actively participate in learning rather than merely attend classes. The present study confirms that collaborative learning provides opportunities for such active participation by transforming students from passive recipients of information into active contributors to the learning process. The findings related to emotional engagement are equally important. Students exposed to collaborative learning reported higher levels of enjoyment, confidence, and motivation. These outcomes can be interpreted through the lens of Self-Determination Theory (Deci & Ryan, 2000), which emphasizes the importance of satisfying learners' needs for autonomy, competence, and relatedness. Collaborative learning environments naturally support these needs by allowing students to make decisions, experience success through shared problem-solving, and develop meaningful relationships with peers. Consequently, students become more emotionally invested in learning activities.

Particularly noteworthy is the strong improvement observed in cognitive engagement. Among all engagement dimensions, cognitive engagement achieved the highest mean score and demonstrated the strongest correlation with academic achievement. This finding supports Chi and Wylie's (2014) ICAP framework, which argues that deeper cognitive engagement occurs when learners actively generate, discuss, and elaborate knowledge rather than simply receive information. Collaborative learning requires students to explain concepts, justify opinions, evaluate evidence, and negotiate meaning, all of which stimulate higher-order cognitive processes. The strong relationship between cognitive engagement and academic achievement identified in this study further reinforces constructivist perspectives on learning. According to Vygotsky (1978), learning occurs through socially mediated cognitive activity. Collaborative learning creates opportunities for students to operate within their Zone of Proximal Development by interacting with peers who provide intellectual support and alternative perspectives. The findings suggest that such interactions facilitate deeper understanding and improved academic performance. The improvement in academic achievement observed among students participating in collaborative learning activities aligns closely with previous international research. Freeman et al. (2014), in their meta-analysis of active learning studies, concluded that students engaged in active learning strategies consistently outperform those taught through traditional lectures. Similarly, Hattie (2009) identified collaborative learning as one of the most influential factors affecting student achievement. The current findings extend this evidence to EFL higher education contexts, demonstrating that collaborative learning can significantly enhance language-related academic outcomes.

An important contribution of the present study lies in its focus on EFL education. Language learning differs from many other academic disciplines because communication itself constitutes both the means and the objective of instruction. Collaborative learning creates authentic opportunities for students to use language for meaningful purposes, thereby supporting both linguistic and academic development. This finding is consistent with the work of Warschauer and Liaw (2011), who argue that collaborative tasks provide rich contexts for language practice, interaction, and negotiation of meaning. The qualitative findings further illuminate the mechanisms underlying the effectiveness of collaborative learning. Students consistently reported that peer explanations facilitated understanding of difficult concepts. This observation is particularly important because it suggests that learning is enhanced not only through teacher instruction but also through interaction among learners. Peer explanations may be especially effective because students often communicate using language and examples that are more accessible

to their classmates. Such findings support Mercer and Howe's (2012) argument that exploratory talk plays a critical role in educational development.

The development of communication skills emerged as another important outcome of collaborative learning. Participants reported improvements in academic speaking, presentation skills, teamwork, and interpersonal communication. These competencies are increasingly recognized as essential graduate attributes in the twenty-first century. According to the World Economic Forum (2023), collaboration, communication, adaptability, and problem-solving are among the most important skills required for future employment. Therefore, collaborative learning contributes not only to immediate academic outcomes but also to long-term professional development. The study also revealed positive effects on learner autonomy. As students assumed greater responsibility for their learning, they became more independent and self-regulated. This finding is consistent with research conducted by Järvelä and Hadwin (2013), who emphasize the role of socially shared regulation in collaborative learning environments. Through collaborative activities, students learn to monitor progress, manage tasks, and evaluate outcomes collectively. Such experiences contribute to the development of self-regulated learning skills that remain valuable beyond formal education. Despite the overwhelmingly positive findings, several challenges associated with collaborative learning were identified. Some students initially experienced difficulties adapting to collaborative tasks due to previous exposure to teacher-centered educational practices. Unequal participation occasionally emerged within groups, requiring instructor intervention and role allocation. These findings are consistent with previous research suggesting that collaborative learning is most effective when carefully structured and facilitated (Johnson et al., 2014). The importance of teacher facilitation deserves particular attention. Contrary to common misconceptions, collaborative learning does not diminish the role of the instructor. Instead, it transforms the teacher's responsibilities from information provider to facilitator, mentor, and designer of learning experiences. Effective collaborative learning requires clear instructions, meaningful tasks, ongoing monitoring, and constructive feedback. The success of the intervention observed in this study can therefore be attributed not only to collaboration itself but also to systematic instructional design.

From a theoretical perspective, the findings contribute to ongoing discussions concerning the relationship between social interaction and learning. The results provide empirical support for social constructivist theories by demonstrating that interaction and collaboration enhance both engagement and academic performance. Furthermore, the study confirms that learning is not solely an

individual cognitive process but also a socially situated activity influenced by communication, cooperation, and shared meaning-making. From a practical perspective, the findings have important implications for higher education institutions seeking to improve educational quality. Universities increasingly emphasize student-centered learning, active engagement, and competency development. The present research suggests that collaborative learning offers an effective mechanism for achieving these objectives. Educational policymakers and curriculum designers should therefore consider integrating collaborative strategies more systematically into EFL programs and broader higher education curricula.

Several limitations must be acknowledged. The study was conducted within a single institution and involved a relatively small sample size. Future research should include larger and more diverse populations to enhance generalizability. Additionally, longitudinal studies could investigate the long-term effects of collaborative learning on language proficiency, academic achievement, and professional skill development.

In conclusion, the discussion of findings demonstrates that collaborative learning significantly enhances student engagement and academic achievement among EFL students in higher education. The evidence suggests that collaborative learning creates intellectually stimulating, emotionally supportive, and socially interactive environments that promote meaningful learning experiences. These findings reinforce the growing consensus within educational research that collaborative learning represents one of the most effective approaches for preparing students to meet the academic and professional demands of contemporary society.

Conclusion

The present study investigated the impact of collaborative learning strategies on student engagement and academic achievement among EFL students in higher education. The findings provide strong empirical evidence that collaborative learning represents an effective pedagogical approach capable of enhancing students' academic experiences and educational outcomes. The quantitative results demonstrated statistically significant improvements in behavioral, emotional, and cognitive engagement among students exposed to collaborative learning activities. Students participating in collaborative tasks exhibited higher levels of classroom participation, motivation, intellectual involvement, and academic responsibility than those receiving traditional lecture-based instruction. Furthermore, academic achievement scores increased substantially within the experimental group, indicating that collaborative learning contributes not only to engagement but also to measurable educational performance. The strongest relationship identified in the study was between cognitive engagement and academic achievement. This finding

suggests that collaborative learning is particularly effective in promoting deeper processing of information, critical thinking, and meaningful knowledge construction. Through interaction, discussion, and shared problem-solving, students became active participants in the learning process and developed stronger conceptual understanding. Qualitative findings further revealed that collaborative learning enhanced communication competence, self-confidence, teamwork skills, learner autonomy, and motivation. Students reported that peer interaction facilitated understanding of difficult concepts and created a supportive learning environment that encouraged participation. These findings highlight the broader educational value of collaborative learning beyond immediate academic outcomes.

From a theoretical perspective, the study provides support for social constructivist theories of learning, particularly the view that knowledge is constructed through interaction and collaborative meaning-making. The findings also reinforce contemporary engagement theories emphasizing the importance of active participation and learner-centered instruction in higher education. The study concludes that collaborative learning should be considered a central component of contemporary EFL pedagogy. Universities seeking to improve educational quality, student engagement, and graduate competencies should systematically integrate collaborative learning strategies into instructional practice. Future research should investigate long-term effects of collaborative learning across diverse educational contexts and explore its influence on language proficiency, digital literacy, and professional competencies.

REFERENCES:

1. Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology, 3*(2), 77–101. https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp063oa

2. Chi, M. T. H., & Wylie, R. (2014). The ICAP framework. *Educational Psychologist, 49*(4), 219–243. https://doi.org/10.1080/00461520.2014.965823

3. Cohen, J. (1988). *Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences* (2nd ed.). Routledge.

4. Creswell, J. W., & Plano Clark, V. L. (2018). *Designing and conducting mixed methods research* (3rd ed.). Sage.

5. Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (2000). The what and why of goal pursuits. *Psychological Inquiry, 11*(4), 227–268. https://doi.org/10.1207/S15327965PLI1104_01

6. Dillenbourg, P. (1999). What do you mean by collaborative learning? In *Collaborative-learning: Cognitive and computational approaches* (pp. 1–19). Elsevier. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-008043073-3/50002-0

7. Freeman, S., Eddy, S. L., McDonough, M., et al. (2014). Active learning increases student performance. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 111*(23), 8410–8415. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1319030111

8. Fredricks, J. A., Blumenfeld, P. C., & Paris, A. H. (2004). School engagement. *Review of Educational Research, 74*(1), 59–109. https://doi.org/10.3102/00346543074001059

9. Garrison, D. R., Anderson, T., & Archer, W. (2000). Critical inquiry in higher education. *The Internet and Higher Education, 2*(2–3), 87–105. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1096-7516(00)00016-6

10. Gillies, R. M. (2016). Cooperative learning: Review of research and practice. *Australian Journal of Teacher Education, 41*(3), 39–54. https://doi.org/10.14221/ajte.2016v41n3.3

11. Hattie, J. (2009). *Visible learning*. Routledge.

12. Järvelä, S., & Hadwin, A. (2013). New frontiers of socially shared regulation. *Educational Psychologist, 48*(1), 25–39. https://doi.org/10.1080/00461520.2012.748006

13. Johnson, D. W., Johnson, R. T., & Smith, K. A. (2014). Cooperative learning. *Educational Researcher, 43*(5), 247–255. https://doi.org/10.3102/0013189X14530588

14. Kahu, E. R. (2013). Framing student engagement. *Studies in Higher Education, 38*(5), 758–773. https://doi.org/10.1080/03075079.2011.598505

15. Kuh, G. D. (2009). Student engagement and educational success. *Journal of College Student Development, 50*(6), 683–706. https://doi.org/10.1353/csd.0.0096

16. Laal, M., & Ghodsi, S. M. (2012). Benefits of collaborative learning. *Procedia – Social and Behavioral Sciences, 31*, 486–490. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2011.12.091

17. Mercer, N., & Howe, C. (2012). Explaining educational dialogue. *Learning, Culture and Social Interaction, 1*(1), 12–21. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lcsi.2012.03.001

18. Prince, M. (2004). Does active learning work? *Journal of Engineering Education, 93*(3), 223–231. https://doi.org/10.1002/j.2168-9830.2004.tb00809.x

19. Springer, L., Stanne, M. E., & Donovan, S. S. (1999). Small-group learning meta-analysis. *Review of Educational Research, 69*(1), 21–51. https://doi.org/10.3102/00346543069001021

20. Storch, N. (2013). *Collaborative writing in L2 classrooms*. Multilingual Matters.

21. Taber, K. S. (2018). The use of Cronbach's alpha. *Research in Science Education, 48*(6), 1273–1296. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11165-016-9602-2

22. Volet, S., Summers, M., & Thurman, J. (2009). High-level co-regulation. *Learning and Instruction, 19*(2), 128–143. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.learninstruc.2008.01.001

23. Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). *Mind in society*. Harvard University Press.

Warschauer, M., & Liaw, M. L. (2011). Emerging technologies for autonomous language learning. *Studies in Self-Access Learning Journal, 2*(3), 107–118. https://doi.org/10.37237/020303

24. Webb, N. M. (2009). The teacher's role in promoting collaboration. *International Journal of Educational Research, 48*(1), 1–15. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijer.2009.05.001

25. World Economic Forum. (2023). *The Future of Jobs Report 2023*. Geneva: World Economic Forum.