RESEARCH TOPICS ON THE COMPARISON OF ENGLISH AND UZBEK MYTHOLOGEMES

Ahrorova Nigina Anvarovna

Buxoro davlat pedagogika instituti o'qituvchisi

ahrorovanigina@buxdpi.uz

Tel raqam: +998914426165

Annotation

This research focuses on the comparative study of mythologemes in English and Uzbek cultures. It explores how mythological elements reflect national worldviews, values, and traditions. The analysis includes lexical, semantic, and cultural aspects of mythologemes, identifying similarities and differences between the two languages. The study also highlights how these mythologemes are manifested in folklore, literature, and phraseology, contributing to cross-cultural understanding and linguistic enrichment.

Аннотация

Данное исследование посвящено сравнительному анализу мифологем в английской и узбекской культурах. Рассматривается, как мифологические элементы отражают национальное мировоззрение, ценности и традиции. Анализ охватывает лексические, семантические и культурные аспекты мифологем, выявляя сходства и различия между двумя языками. Особое внимание уделяется проявлению мифологем в фольклоре, литературе и фразеологии, что способствует углублению межкультурного понимания и лингвистическому обогащению.

Keywords: mythologeme, comparative linguistics, English, Uzbek, folklore, phraseology, national worldview, cultural values, linguistic culture, semantics

Ключевые слова: мифологема, сравнительное языкознание, английский язык, узбекский язык, фольклор, фразеология, национальное мировоззрение, культурные ценности, лингвокультура, семантика

The development of English mythologemes is deeply rooted in both pre-Christian and Christian influences, which have shaped the narrative fabric of English culture. Early English mythology emerged from a blend of indigenous pagan beliefs, influenced heavily by Germanic traditions before the advent of Christianity. These mythologemes often featured gods and supernatural elements

that reflected the natural world and societal values of the time. The transition to Christianity introduced new narratives and figures, such as saints and biblical stories, which recontextualized older myths within a Christian framework [1].

Historical events, especially invasions and colonization, have significantly impacted the formation of myth in England. For instance, the Norman Conquest in 1066 led to a fusion of Anglo-Saxon and Norman French elements in mythology, influencing the legends of King Arthur and the Knights of the Round Table. These tales reflect the sociopolitical landscape and collective consciousness of the era, illustrating how myths served to encapsulate historical experiences [2].

Moreover, the contributions from various cultures, including Roman and Celtic influences, further enriched English mythologemes, creating a complex tapestry of interwoven narratives that continue to evolve in contemporary literature and media [3].

Evolution of Uzbek Mythologemes

In contrast, the evolution of Uzbek mythologemes is influenced by Central Asian historical narratives and cultural interactions with surrounding regions. The Silk Road played a pivotal role in the exchange of myths, leading to a rich tapestry of influences from Persian and Turkic cultures. This interaction helped shape pre-Islamic and Islamic mythological narratives, which often drew on themes of heroism, ancestry, and the supernatural [4].

Following the dissolution of the Soviet Union, Uzbek mythology underwent a transformation as it reasserted its national identity and cultural heritage. This period saw a revitalization of folklore, with myths serving as repositories of collective memory, enabling the Uzbek people to reconnect with their historical roots while navigating modern sociopolitical changes [5].

A comparative analysis of the historical influences on English and Uzbek mythologies reveals both similarities and divergences. While both cultures have experienced significant transformations due to external influences, the historical trajectories of their mythologemes differ notably. For example, English myths have integrated a greater degree of Christian narrative structures, whereas Uzbek mythology has maintained a closer tie to indigenous beliefs, allowing for a distinctly different representation of supernatural themes and moral values [6].

Furthermore, both mythologies have evolved to integrate modern cultural identities, albeit in different ways. English mythology often reflects broader themes of individualism and national pride, while Uzbek mythology tends to emphasize community and collective identity, reflecting its historical context .

Common Themes in English Mythologemes

English mythologemes frequently explore themes of heroism and quests, epitomized by figures such as King Arthur and his Knights. These tales serve not only as entertainment but also as moral allegories, illustrating virtues such as bravery, loyalty, and chivalry [7].

Representation of good versus evil is another prevalent theme in English myths, often depicted in the form of legendary battles, as seen in the narratives surrounding Beowulf and the struggle against malevolent forces. These stories reflect societal values and the ongoing battle between opposing moral forces, mirroring conflicts within the collective psyche [8].

Additionally, the role of nature and supernatural beings is significant, with English myths frequently personifying elements of the natural world, embodying both its beauty and fury. Nature often serves as both a backdrop and a pivotal player in mythological narratives, shaping the journeys of heroes and the outcomes of their quests .

Common Themes in Uzbek Mythologemes

In Uzbek mythology, the importance of ancestry and lineage prominently shapes narratives. Myths are often centered around heroic figures who embody the values and histories of their people. For instance, the epic of Alpomish highlights themes of kinship and the responsibilities that come with one's heritage [9].

Nature also plays a crucial role in Uzbek myths, where it is intertwined with cultural identities. The portrayal of mountains, rivers, and other natural elements reflects both awe and reverence for the environment, echoing the region's agricultural roots and nomadic history [10].

Furthermore, morality and ethics are central to many Uzbek legends, where tales often serve as didactic tools, illustrating moral lessons through the actions and decisions of mythical figures. These narratives reinforce societal norms and values, guiding community behavior [11].

Comparative Thematic Analysis

Despite the differences in cultural context, both English and Uzbek mythologies share common thematic threads. The exploration of heroism in both cultures reveals universal human values that resonate across time and geography. The archetypes of the hero's journey, present in both mythologies, speak to a shared human experience of overcoming adversity [12].

However, there are discernible differences in perspectives toward morality. While English mythologemes may often celebrate individual heroic actions, Uzbek myths place a stronger emphasis on the communal implications of morality, highlighting how actions affect the broader community [13].

Moreover, both cultures present shared narratives of creation and destruction, weaving together themes of life cycles and the impacts of human actions on the earth. This reflection of creation and its consequences serves as a reminder of the interconnectedness of humanity and nature [1].

3. Character Archetypes in Mythologemes

Archetypal Heroes in English Myths

The archetypal heroes in English mythology, such as King Arthur, are portrayed as embodiment of ideal virtues, often tasked with quests that symbolize the struggle between good and evil. The Round Table represents an egalitarian ideal, where each knight's virtue is recognized, showcasing the values of camaraderie and loyalty [14].

In addition, female characters in English mythology, such as Guinevere and Morgan le Fay, often occupy complex roles that challenge traditional gender norms. Their portrayals highlight the nuances of female agency within the narratives and the societal implications these characters embody .

Modern interpretations of these figures have evolved, reflecting contemporary values and societal changes. The reimagining of characters in literature and film illustrates the enduring impact of these mythologemes, highlighting their adaptability to modern narratives [6].

Archetypal Heroes in Uzbek Myths

Uzbek myths feature prominent figures such as Alpomish, whose legendary status as a heroic warrior epitomizes strength and determination. His narrative often encompasses themes of adventure, loyalty to family, and the fight against injustice, resonating deeply with cultural values related to honor and bravery [1].

Gender roles in Uzbek myths present a different dynamic, where female archetypes like the figure of Jannat exemplify resilience and wisdom. These portrayals often challenge conventional narratives, offering critical insights into the roles women play in shaping cultural identity [15].

The contemporary cultural impact of these mythic heroes is evident in literature and art, as modern narratives draw from traditional motifs while seeking to address current social issues and values within Uzbek society [9].

Comparative Character Analysis

When comparing the heroic traits across English and Uzbek cultures, many similarities can be observed. Both English and Uzbek archetypes exemplify traits such as bravery, loyalty, and moral integrity, emphasizing the universal nature of these values despite differing cultural contexts [14].

However, notable differences arise in the portrayal of villain archetypes. English myths may present clear representations of evil, as seen in figures like

Mordred, while Uzbek myths often depict antagonists with more complexity, highlighting the socio-political elements that shape their motivations [5].

The development of characters in both mythologies reflects broader cultural values, with English narratives often reinforcing ideals of individualism, whereas Uzbek tales may emphasize collective identity and communal responsibility in character arcs [12].

4. Linguistic Structures of Mythologemes

Language Features in English Myths

The use of metaphor and allegory is prominent in English mythological storytelling, allowing for deeper meanings and layers of interpretation. For instance, the metaphorical depiction of dragons in tales represents internal and external conflicts faced by heroes, illuminating the psychological aspects of the hero's journey [9].

Additionally, the analysis of dialects within English myths reveals regional variations that enrich the storytelling tradition, showcasing how language can convey cultural nuances and local identities. The impact of literary traditions on modern storytelling is also significant, as contemporary authors draw from these rich mythological frameworks to create new narratives .

Language Features in Uzbek Myths

Uzbek myths possess unique linguistic characteristics, often embedded within oral traditions that prioritize storytelling techniques such as repetition and parallelism. These features not only enhance the narrative quality but also serve to aid memorization, ensuring the transmission of myths through generations [7].

Furthermore, the influence of oral traditions continues to shape the storytelling methods prevalent in Uzbekistan, where language plays a vital role in cultural preservation. The oral transmission of myths allows for flexibility and adaptation, reflecting the evolving nature of cultural identity [2].

Comparative Linguistic Analysis

Language serves as a powerful tool in shaping mythological narratives across both English and Uzbek mythologies. The comparative analysis reveals that while both cultures utilize metaphor and allegory, the specific language features employed differ significantly, influenced by historical and cultural contexts .

In examining similarities, both cultures exhibit a tendency to infuse their myths with rich symbolism, offering insights into societal values through linguistic choices. Additionally, the cultural implications of these language features underscore the importance of language in myth transmission, emphasizing its role in maintaining cultural heritage .

.

USED LITERATURE

1.Michalopoulos, Stelios and Xue, Melanie Meng. 2021. "Folklore". Oxford University Press. https://doi.org/10.1093/qje/qjab003

2.BellrHann, Ildik. 2008. "The Past as Resource in the Turkic Speaking World". Ergon-Verlag. https://doi.org/10.5771/9783956506888

3. Hansen, Thomas Blom, Stepputat, Finn, Steinmetz, George, and Adams, Julia. 2001. "States of Imagination". Duke University Press. https://doi.org/10.1215/9780822381273

4. Dafni, Amots, et al.. 2021. "In search of traces of the mandrake myth: the historical, and ethnobotanical roots of its vernacular names". BioMed Central. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13002-021-00494-5

5. Annus, Epp. 2016. "Between arts and politics: A postcolonial view on Baltic cultures of the Soviet era". Routledge. https://doi.org/10.1080/01629778.2015.1103509

6. Fussell, Paul. 2017. "The Great War and Modern Memory". None. https://doi.org/10.1163/2468-1733_shafr_sim100050008

7. Brubaker, Rogers. 2002. "Ethnicity without groups". Cambridge University Press. https://doi.org/10.1017/s0003975602001066

8. Brubaker, Rogers. 2002. "Ethnicity without groups". Cambridge University Press. https://doi.org/10.1017/s0003975602001066

9. Papp, Ferenc. 1966. "Mathematical linguistics in the Soviet Union". De Gruyter. https://doi.org/10.1515/9783111352862

10. . Nigina, Ahrorova. "The collected myths of a group of people." Integration Conference on Integration of Pragmalinguistics, Functional Translation Studies and Language Teaching Processes. 2022. https://scholar.google.com/scholar?cluster=10350961352370301658&hl=en&as_sdt=0,5&scioq=ahrorova+nigina#:~:text=Integration%20Conference%20on%20Integration%20of%C2%A0%E2%80%A6%2C%202022%20%2D%20conferenceseries.

11..Z.I, R. ., & Nigina, A. . (2023). MYTHS AS DEPICTIONS OF HISTORICAL EVENTS. Integration Conference on Integration of Pragmalinguistics, Functional Translation Studies and Language Teaching Processes, 206–208. Retrieved from https://conferenceseries.info/index.php/online/article/view/1047

12. Rajabov D.Z., & Ahrorova N. A. (2023). THE CONNECTIONS AND DIFFERENCES BETWEEN MYTHOLOGY AND FOLKLORE. Open Access Repository, 9(4), 282–285. https://doi.org/10.17605/OSF.IO/JTF96

13.Ahrorova N. A. (2024). ENG QADIMGI DAVR FOLKLORIDA IBTIDOIY TASAVVURLAR ASOSIDA YARATILGAN MIFLAR. Hamkor konferensiyalar, 1(8), 466-470.