

THE COGNITIVE LOAD OF POLYCOMPONENTIAL COMPOSITE SENTENCES: OW THE BRAIN PROCESSES COMPLEX STRUCTURES

<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14948983>

Nazarova S. J.

Teacher, Andizhan State Institute of Foreign Languages

Abstract

This paper explores how the human brain processes polycomponential composite sentences, which involve multiple clauses and complex syntactic structures. It examines the cognitive load involved in sentence parsing, focusing on the mental effort required to decode and understand complex sentences.

Key words

polycomponential composite sentences, cognitive load, decoding, eye-tracking, neuroimaging.

Аннотация

В данной статье исследуется, как человеческий мозг обрабатывает поликомпонентные сложные предложения, включающие несколько простых предложений (клоуз) и сложные синтаксические структуры. Рассматривается когнитивная нагрузка, связанная с разбором предложений, с акцентом на умственные усилия, необходимые для декодирования и понимания сложных предложений.

Ключевые слова

поликомпонентные сложные предложения, когнитивная нагрузка, декодирование, отслеживание движений глаз, нейровизуализация.

Annotatsiya

Ushbu maqola polikomponentli qo'shma gaplar, ya'ni bir necha sodda gaplar (klouz)dan tashkil topgan murakkab sintaktik qurilmalar inson ongida qanday qayta ishlanishini tahlil qiladi. Gaplarni tahlil qilishda ishtirok etuvchi kognitiv yuk ko'rib chiqiladi, bu esa polikomponentli qo'shma gaplarni dekodlash va tushunish uchun zarur bo'lgan aqliy harakatni o'z ichiga oladi.

Kalit so'zlar

polikomponentli qo'shma gaplar, kognitiv yuk, dekodlash, ko'z harakatlarini kuzatish, neyrovizualizatsiya.

1. Introduction

Polycomponential composite sentences — also known as complex sentences — are defined by the presence of multiple clauses. These sentences consist of at least one independent clause and one or more dependent clauses, which are conjoined through various coordinating or subordinating conjunctions. Examples include sentences such as "Although it was raining, we decided to go for a walk." These structures allow for conveying intricate ideas, cause-and-effect relationships, and additional details. Their complexity lies in the hierarchical relationships between the clauses, making them an important area of study in linguistics.

Cognitive load refers to the amount of mental effort required to process and understand information. The brain's ability to process complex sentences is a critical part of cognitive linguistics, as it involves syntactic parsing, which refers to the mental analysis of sentence structures. Polycomponential sentences, due to their complexity, impose a higher cognitive load compared to simple, straightforward sentences. This can affect the speed and accuracy with which individuals process these sentences. Furthermore, the cognitive load of complex sentences is influenced by factors such as sentence structure, clause embedding, and ambiguity.

Understanding how the brain processes complex sentence structures like polycomponential composite sentences is central to psycholinguistics. Sentence parsing involves the brain's ability to assign grammatical structure to incoming language input. Cognitive scientists use various methods, including eye-tracking, neuroimaging, and behavioral experiments, to study how the brain decodes and understands complex sentence constructions. This research has significant implications for education, language learning, and cognitive health.

The aim of this study is to explore how the brain processes polycomponential composite sentences, focusing on cognitive load and sentence parsing. Specifically, it will examine the mental resources required to decode these sentences and how the brain navigates syntactic structures, particularly in sentences with multiple embedded clauses.

2. Methods

This study relies heavily on existing research from the fields of cognitive linguistics, psycholinguistics, and neuroscience. Key theories and experiments related to cognitive load, sentence parsing, and syntactic processing will be reviewed to provide a framework for understanding how polycomponential sentences are processed in the brain. Relevant studies include eye-tracking experiments, neuroimaging studies, and reaction-time measures used to analyze sentence comprehension. Baddeley (2000) introduced the concept of working memory, which is crucial when discussing cognitive load in sentence processing.

Gernsbacher (1990) and Frazier & Rayner (1982) focused on structural building in sentence comprehension, which is highly relevant when parsing complex sentences.

Experimental data will be drawn from studies that employ a range of methodologies for investigating sentence processing. For instance, experiments measuring reading times and sentence comprehension errors will be summarized. Eye-tracking studies will be of particular interest, as they measure real-time cognitive load by tracking participants' gaze patterns while they read complex sentences. Neuroimaging data from studies utilizing fMRI or ERP (event-related potentials) will also be reviewed to show the neural correlates of sentence processing. Just & Carpenter (1992)'s work on sentence comprehension and individual differences in working memory will help to understand the impact of cognitive load on sentence processing.

The studies reviewed will provide quantitative data on the speed of processing complex sentence structures and the brain regions activated during parsing. For example, eye-tracking studies will reveal which parts of a polycomponential sentence cause pauses, indicating higher cognitive effort. Neuroimaging studies will be analyzed to identify which areas of the brain are most active during the processing of different sentence structures.

3. Results

The cognitive load involved in processing polycomponential composite sentences is significantly higher than for simple sentences. This increased load is due to the need for working memory to keep track of multiple clauses and their relationships. Studies show that readers experience increased reaction times and higher brain activity when processing sentences with multiple subordinate clauses. This effect is particularly noticeable in sentences with nested or embedded structures, such as "The book that I borrowed from the library, which was overdue, is on the table."

Findings: Research by Kaan & Swaab (2003) found that sentences with multiple embedded clauses activated areas of the brain associated with memory and syntactic processing (e.g., Broca's area), suggesting higher cognitive load. Eye-tracking studies by Frazier & Rayner (1982) showed that readers take longer to process sentences with multiple subordinate clauses, especially when the clauses create ambiguity.

When processing complex sentences, the brain uses multiple strategies to parse the syntax. For example, the brain may apply top-down processing, using context to anticipate sentence structure. When encountering unexpected sentence structures, the brain may engage in reanalysis, leading to longer processing times.

Additionally, complex sentences with coordination or subordination may require the brain to engage in more error correction, further increasing cognitive load.

Findings: Ferreira (2003) found that misinterpretation of non-canonical sentences leads to reanalysis, which requires more cognitive resources. Kintsch & van Dijk (1978) proposed that when sentences include multiple clauses, the brain actively works to simplify the structure by predicting the upcoming clauses, but this can lead to misinterpretations or misunderstandings if the structure is too complex.

Neuroimaging studies suggest that the brain activates several regions when processing complex sentences, including areas responsible for memory, syntax, and speech production. Studies using fMRI and ERP show that polycomponential sentences activate Broca's area, which is involved in syntactic processing, and the prefrontal cortex, which is responsible for working memory.

Findings: Just & Carpenter (1992) found that areas related to working memory were especially activated when participants processed complex sentence structures. Van Gompel et al. (2001) reported that syntactic ambiguities led to longer processing times and more error corrections, as reflected in increased brain activity in regions involved in syntax and memory.

4. Discussion

The brain's processing of polycomponential composite sentences involves significant cognitive load, primarily due to the limited capacity of working memory. As Baddeley (2000) explains, working memory is responsible for holding and manipulating information, which is particularly taxed when parsing sentences with multiple embedded clauses. Polycomponential sentences often exceed the processing capacity of working memory, leading to longer reading times and the potential for error.

Implication: Understanding the cognitive load of complex sentence structures can inform language instruction, especially for second-language learners who may struggle with long or complex sentences. The brain utilizes both top-down and bottom-up processing strategies when parsing complex sentences. Top-down processing involves using context and prior knowledge to predict the structure of a sentence, while bottom-up processing is more data-driven, relying on syntactic clues within the sentence itself. In polycomponential sentences, the brain often needs to shift between these strategies, especially when clauses are highly embedded or the sentence structure is unexpected.

Implication: For language learners, exposure to different sentence structures in varied contexts may help facilitate the use of both processing strategies, reducing

cognitive overload and improving comprehension.

Understanding the cognitive demands of processing polycomponential sentences is essential for both language learners and cognitive scientists. In educational contexts, teachers can scaffold sentence comprehension by gradually introducing more complex structures, starting with simple sentences and gradually increasing their complexity. Additionally, comprehension exercises that focus on clause relationships and syntactic ambiguity can help learners reduce cognitive load over time.

Implication: For second-language acquisition, it may be beneficial to teach syntactic structures in small, manageable chunks, progressively building up learners' ability to process complex sentences with lower cognitive effort.

Future research should focus on how age, language proficiency, and cognitive impairments affect sentence processing. Studies could investigate how younger versus older adults process complex sentences and whether there are specific neural differences in parsing. Furthermore, longitudinal studies on second-language learners would be valuable to understand how cognitive load decreases with experience and practice.

5. Conclusion

This study explored how the brain processes polycomponential composite sentences, emphasizing the cognitive load required for sentence parsing. The findings indicate that processing these complex sentences activates brain regions related to memory, syntax, and error correction. Additionally, increased cognitive load was observed when sentences contained multiple embedded clauses or ambiguities, leading to longer processing times and increased activation in related brain areas.

Understanding how the brain handles polycomponential sentences has important implications for language teaching, particularly for second-language learners. Educators can use this knowledge to reduce cognitive load through scaffolded instruction and targeted practice. Moreover, cognitive scientists can further explore how age, language proficiency, and cognitive abilities influence sentence processing.

Polycomponential composite sentences provide a valuable lens through which to examine cognitive load and sentence parsing. As language continues to evolve, understanding how the brain processes increasingly complex syntactic structures will be key to developing more effective language instruction techniques and cognitive models.

REFERENCES

1. Baddeley, A. D. (2000). The episodic buffer: A new component of working memory? *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 4(11), 417-423.
2. Ferreira, F. (2003). The misinterpretation of noncanonical sentences. *Cognitive Psychology*, 47(2), 164-203.
3. Frazier, L., & Rayner, K. (1982). Making and correcting errors during sentence processing: Eye movements in the analysis of structurally ambiguous sentences. *Cognitive Psychology*, 14(2), 178-210.
4. Gernsbacher, M. A. (1990). *Language comprehension as structure building*. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
5. Just, M. A., & Carpenter, P. A. (1992). A capacity theory of comprehension: Individual differences in working memory. *Psychological Review*, 99(1), 122-149.
6. Kaan, E., & Swaab, T. Y. (2003). Repair, revision, and complexity in syntactic analysis: An electrophysiological distinction. *Cognitive Brain Research*, 18(1), 125-136.
7. Kintsch, W., & van Dijk, T. A. (1978). Toward a model of text comprehension and production. *Psychological Review*, 85(5), 363-394.
8. Van Gompel, R. P., Pickering, M. J., & Traxler, M. J. (2001). Ambiguity and attachment during sentence processing: Evidence from eye tracking. *Journal of Memory and Language*, 45(3), 427-443.