AMERICAN JOURNAL OF APPLIED MEDICAL SCIENCE
ISSN: 2996-5101 (online) | ResearchBib (IF) = 9.818 IMPACT FACTOR
Volume-3| Issue-5| 2025 Published: |30-05-2025|

DIAGNOSIS OF PARAESOPHAGEAL HERNIAS: A COMPREHENSIVE REVIEW

https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15549212

Jumaev N.A.
(drsurgeonmd@gmail.com), ORCID: 0000-0002-5311-4779
Baratov N.Ya.
(baratovnizomiddin577@gmail.com)
Utegenov Yu.M
(utegenovyusup1994@gmail.com)
[1] Department of Surgical Diseases in Family Medicine, Tashkent state medical university, Tashkent, Uzbekistan

Abstract

Background: Paraesophageal hernias represent a complex subset of hiatal hernias characterized by herniation of gastric fundus or other abdominal organs into the thoracic cavity while maintaining normal position of the gastroesophageal junction.

Objective: This comprehensive review examines current approaches to diagnosis, including clinical assessment, imaging modalities, and functional studies, while highlighting the importance of early recognition and appropriate management.

Methods: A systematic analysis of contemporary literature was conducted, focusing on diagnostic techniques, classification systems, and management protocols for paraesophageal hernias.

Results: These hernias pose significant diagnostic challenges due to variable clinical presentations and potential for life-threatening complications. Modern imaging techniques, including CT and dynamic studies, have improved diagnostic accuracy, while multidisciplinary approaches enhance patient outcomes.

Conclusions: Early recognition through systematic diagnostic approaches is crucial for preventing life-threatening complications. The integration of clinical assessment, appropriate imaging studies, and functional evaluation provides the foundation for optimal patient management.

Keywords

Paraesophageal hernia, hiatal hernia, gastric volvulus, diagnostic imaging, surgical management

Introduction

AMERICAN JOURNAL OF APPLIED MEDICAL SCIENCE
ISSN: 2996-5101 (online) | ResearchBib (IF) = 9.818 IMPACT FACTOR
Volume-3| Issue-5| 2025 Published: |30-05-2025|

Paraesophageal hernias constitute approximately 5-15% of all hiatal hernias, with increasing recognition due to improved diagnostic capabilities and aging population demographics (1). Unlike sliding hiatal hernias, paraesophageal hernias maintain the gastroesophageal junction in its normal anatomical position while allowing herniation of gastric fundus or other abdominal contents into the thoracic cavity (2).

The clinical significance of paraesophageal hernias extends beyond their relative rarity, as they carry substantial risk for acute complications including gastric volvulus, ischemia, perforation, and bleeding. Recent studies suggest that the lifetime risk of requiring emergency surgery approaches 15-20% for large paraesophageal hernias, emphasizing the importance of accurate diagnosis and timely intervention (3).

Contemporary understanding of paraesophageal hernia pathophysiology involves progressive weakening of the phrenoesophageal ligament, coupled with increased intra-abdominal pressure and age-related tissue changes. This multifactorial process leads to enlargement of the esophageal hiatus and subsequent organ herniation (4).

Classification and Anatomical Considerations

Modern Classification System

The traditional classification system, established by Akerlund and modified by Allison, divides hiatal hernias into four distinct types based on anatomical relationships (5). Type I hernias, or sliding hernias, involve cephalad migration of the gastroesophageal junction and constitute 95% of all hiatal hernias. Types II-IV represent true paraesophageal hernias with varying degrees of gastric and other organ involvement.

Type II paraesophageal hernias demonstrate herniation of gastric fundus alongside a normally positioned gastroesophageal junction, creating the classic "upside-down stomach" appearance. Type III hernias combine features of both sliding and paraesophageal components, with both the gastroesophageal junction and gastric fundus displaced into the thorax (6).

Type IV hernias involve herniation of additional abdominal organs beyond the stomach, including colon, spleen, small bowel, or omentum. These complex hernias often present with the most severe symptoms and highest complication rates, requiring comprehensive preoperative evaluation and surgical planning (7).

Anatomical Relationships

Understanding the normal anatomy of the esophageal hiatus is crucial for recognizing pathological changes. The esophageal hiatus, formed by the right and

AMERICAN JOURNAL OF APPLIED MEDICAL SCIENCE
ISSN: 2996-5101 (online) | ResearchBib (IF) = 9.818 IMPACT FACTOR
Volume-3| Issue-5| 2025 Published: |30-05-2025|

left crura of the diaphragm, normally measures 2-4 cm in diameter and is reinforced by the phrenoesophageal ligament (8).

Progressive weakening of these supporting structures allows for hiatal enlargement and subsequent organ herniation. The size of the hernia defect correlates with symptom severity and complication risk, with defects larger than 5 cm associated with increased morbidity (9).

The arterial supply to herniated gastric tissue may become compromised due to mechanical factors, creating risk for ischemia and perforation. The short gastric vessels and left gastroepiploic artery are particularly vulnerable to stretching and compression within the thoracic cavity (10).

Clinical Presentation

Symptomatology Spectrum

The clinical presentation of paraesophageal hernias encompasses a broad spectrum ranging from asymptomatic cases to life-threatening emergencies. Many patients remain asymptomatic for years, with hernias discovered incidentally during imaging performed for other indications (11).

Symptomatic patients typically present with postprandial epigastric or substernal pain, early satiety, and gastroesophageal reflux symptoms. The characteristic feature of positional symptom variation, with improvement in upright posture and worsening when recumbent, provides important diagnostic clues (12).

Respiratory symptoms, including dyspnea, chest pain, and palpitations, result from compression of adjacent thoracic structures by the herniated organs. Large hernias may cause mediastinal shift and cardiac compression, leading to exercise intolerance and reduced functional capacity (13).

Acute Presentations

Acute gastric volvulus represents the most serious complication of paraesophageal hernias, occurring in 5-10% of cases. The classic Borchardt triad of severe epigastric pain, nonproductive retching, and inability to pass a nasogastric tube suggests acute gastric volvulus and requires emergency intervention (14).

Gastric ischemia and perforation may develop rapidly in cases of acute volvulus, with mortality rates approaching 30-50% without prompt surgical intervention. Early recognition of these complications is crucial for optimizing patient outcomes (15).

Chronic anemia may result from gastric mucosal erosions or Cameron lesions (linear mucosal ulcerations at the level of the diaphragm), occurring in 20-30% of patients with large paraesophageal hernias. This finding may be the only manifestation in otherwise asymptomatic patients (16).

AMERICAN JOURNAL OF APPLIED MEDICAL SCIENCE
ISSN: 2996-5101 (online) | ResearchBib (IF) = 9.818 IMPACT FACTOR
Volume-3| Issue-5| 2025 Published: |30-05-2025|

Diagnostic Imaging

Chest Radiography

Plain chest radiography may provide initial diagnostic clues, demonstrating a retrocardiac air-fluid level, mediastinal widening, or abnormal gastric air bubble position. However, sensitivity for detecting paraesophageal hernias ranges from only 50-70%, limiting its utility as a standalone diagnostic tool (17).

The presence of a gastric air bubble above the level of the diaphragm, particularly when associated with an air-fluid level, suggests significant gastric herniation. Large hernias may cause apparent cardiomegaly due to cardiac compression and mediastinal displacement (18).

Barium Studies

Upper gastrointestinal series with barium remains the gold standard for diagnosing paraesophageal hernias, providing detailed anatomical information and functional assessment. The study should be performed in both upright and supine positions to evaluate the full extent of organ herniation (19).

Key radiographic findings include identification of the gastroesophageal junction position, degree of gastric herniation, presence of organoaxial or mesenteroaxial volvulus, and assessment of gastric emptying. The classic "upside-down stomach" appearance confirms the diagnosis in advanced cases (20).

Dynamic fluoroscopic evaluation allows assessment of gastric motility and emptying, which may be significantly impaired in large hernias. Delayed gastric emptying correlates with symptom severity and may influence surgical planning decisions (21).

Computed Tomography

CT imaging with oral contrast provides superior anatomical detail compared to barium studies, particularly for complex hernias involving multiple organs. Three-dimensional reconstruction capabilities enhance surgical planning by clearly demonstrating anatomical relationships (22).

CT is particularly valuable for evaluating complications such as gastric ischemia, perforation, or bowel obstruction. Signs of gastric wall thickening, pneumatosis, or free air indicate urgent surgical intervention (23).

The ability to assess surrounding structures, including the heart, lungs, and great vessels, makes CT invaluable for preoperative planning and risk assessment. Cardiac compression and pulmonary compression can be quantified to guide perioperative management (24).

Endoscopic Evaluation

Upper Endoscopy Findings

Esophagogastroduodenoscopy provides direct visualization of the gastroesophageal junction and intrathoracic stomach, confirming the diagnosis and assessing for complications. The endoscopic appearance of a "reverse" or "upside-down" stomach view from the esophagus is pathognomonic for large paraesophageal hernias (25).

Mucosal changes, including Cameron lesions, gastritis, and ulcerations, are commonly observed and may explain symptoms of anemia or gastrointestinal bleeding. The presence and severity of these lesions influence the urgency of surgical intervention (26).

Endoscopic assessment of the gastroesophageal junction position relative to the diaphragmatic hiatus helps differentiate between sliding and paraesophageal components. This information is crucial for surgical planning and technique selection (27).

Limitations and Considerations

Endoscopic evaluation may be technically challenging or impossible in cases of severe gastric volvulus or complete gastric herniation. The altered anatomy can make navigation difficult and increase the risk of perforation (28).

In cases of acute presentation with suspected volvulus, endoscopy should be avoided unless performed by experienced operators, as insufflation may worsen gastric distension and compromise blood flow (29).

Functional Studies

Esophageal Manometry

High-resolution esophageal manometry provides detailed assessment of esophageal motility and lower esophageal sphincter function. Paraesophageal hernias may be associated with motility disorders that influence surgical planning and postoperative outcomes (30).

The presence of ineffective esophageal motility or aperistalsis may contraindicate certain antireflux procedures during hernia repair. Preoperative identification of these abnormalities allows for appropriate surgical modification (31).

pH Monitoring

Ambulatory pH monitoring quantifies gastroesophageal reflux severity and patterns, providing objective data to guide treatment decisions. Many patients with paraesophageal hernias have concomitant reflux disease requiring simultaneous treatment (32).

The decision to perform concomitant antireflux procedures during hernia repair is influenced by preoperative pH study results. Patients with significant acid

AMERICAN JOURNAL OF APPLIED MEDICAL SCIENCE
ISSN: 2996-5101 (online) | ResearchBib (IF) = 9.818 IMPACT FACTOR
Volume-3| Issue-5| 2025 Published: |30-05-2025|

exposure benefit from fundoplication, while those without reflux may require only hernia reduction (33).

Gastric Emptying Studies

Scintigraphic gastric emptying studies assess the functional impact of gastric herniation on food transit. Delayed gastric emptying is common in large paraesophageal hernias and may persist postoperatively, affecting symptom resolution (34).

Severely delayed gastric emptying may necessitate additional procedures such as pyloroplasty during hernia repair. Preoperative identification of this abnormality helps set appropriate patient expectations regarding symptom improvement (35).

Differential Diagnosis

Cardiac Conditions

The chest pain and dyspnea associated with large paraesophageal hernias may mimic cardiac conditions, including myocardial infarction, angina, or congestive heart failure. Electrocardiographic changes and elevated cardiac enzymes may occur due to cardiac compression (36).

Distinguishing cardiac from gastric causes of chest pain requires careful history taking, focusing on relationship to meals, position changes, and associated gastrointestinal symptoms. Cardiac evaluation should be completed before attributing symptoms solely to the hernia (37).

Pulmonary Pathology

Large hernias may present with respiratory symptoms resembling pneumonia, pleural effusion, or pulmonary embolism. The presence of air-fluid levels in the chest may be misinterpreted as pulmonary pathology on imaging studies (38).

Pulmonary function testing may demonstrate restrictive patterns due to diaphragmatic elevation and reduced lung capacity. These changes typically improve following surgical repair of large hernias (39).

Gastrointestinal Disorders

Upper abdominal pain and early satiety associated with paraesophageal hernias may suggest peptic ulcer disease, gastritis, or functional dyspepsia. The positional nature of symptoms and radiographic findings help establish the correct diagnosis (40).

Gastric outlet obstruction due to external compression or volvulus must be differentiated from pyloric stenosis or duodenal obstruction. Barium studies clearly demonstrate the site and mechanism of obstruction (41).

Risk Stratification and Surgical Indications

Elective Repair Indications

AMERICAN JOURNAL OF APPLIED MEDICAL SCIENCE
ISSN: 2996-5101 (online) | ResearchBib (IF) = 9.818 IMPACT FACTOR
Volume-3| Issue-5| 2025 Published: |30-05-2025|

All symptomatic paraesophageal hernias warrant surgical consideration due to the risk of acute complications. Symptoms significantly impacting quality of life, including chronic anemia, recurrent pain, or respiratory compromise, represent clear indications for repair (42).

Large asymptomatic hernias (>5 cm or >30% of stomach herniated) remain controversial, with recent studies suggesting that the risk of acute complications may be lower than previously reported. However, most experts recommend repair in suitable surgical candidates due to the potential for catastrophic complications (43).

Emergency Indications

Acute gastric volvulus, gastric ischemia, perforation, or complete gastric outlet obstruction require immediate surgical intervention. Preoperative resuscitation and optimization should not delay surgery in these cases, as mortality increases significantly with delayed treatment (44).

The presence of gastric pneumatosis, portal venous gas, or free peritoneal air on CT imaging indicates gastric necrosis and mandates emergency surgery. These findings are associated with mortality rates exceeding 50% even with prompt intervention (45).

Risk Assessment

Preoperative risk assessment must consider patient age, comorbidities, functional status, and technical complexity of the repair. Advanced age alone should not preclude surgery, as elderly patients may benefit significantly from repair of large symptomatic hernias (46).

Cardiac and pulmonary evaluation is particularly important given the potential for symptom improvement following repair. Patients with severe cardiopulmonary disease may experience dramatic functional improvement after eliminating cardiac and pulmonary compression (47).

Advanced Diagnostic Techniques

Three-Dimensional Imaging

Advanced CT reconstruction techniques provide detailed three-dimensional visualization of hernia anatomy, facilitating surgical planning and patient counseling. Virtual endoscopy capabilities allow assessment of gastric configuration and identification of potential complications (48).

These imaging advances are particularly valuable for complex Type IV hernias involving multiple organs, helping surgeons anticipate technical challenges and plan appropriate approaches (49).

Magnetic Resonance Imaging

MRI offers superior soft tissue contrast compared to CT and avoids radiation exposure, making it valuable for young patients or those requiring repeated imaging. Dynamic MRI sequences can assess real-time organ movement during respiration and position changes (50).

The ability to visualize vascular structures without contrast makes MRI particularly useful for assessing blood supply to herniated organs and identifying vascular complications (51).

Emerging Diagnostic Technologies

Artificial Intelligence Applications

Machine learning algorithms are being developed to improve diagnostic accuracy and efficiency in interpreting imaging studies. These tools may help identify subtle radiographic findings and predict complication risk based on anatomical parameters (52).

Automated measurement of hernia dimensions, gastric volume calculations, and assessment of organ herniation percentages may standardize reporting and improve consistency between observers (53).

Novel Imaging Techniques

Four-dimensional CT imaging allows real-time assessment of organ movement and gastric emptying, providing functional information traditionally available only through nuclear medicine studies. This technology may improve understanding of symptom mechanisms and surgical planning (54).

Contrast-enhanced ultrasound is being investigated as a non-invasive method for assessing gastric blood flow and identifying areas at risk for ischemia. This technique may prove valuable for monitoring patients managed conservatively (55).

Clinical Decision-Making Algorithms

Diagnostic Workflow

A structured approach to diagnosing paraesophageal hernias begins with careful history taking and physical examination, followed by appropriate imaging studies. Patients presenting with typical symptoms should undergo barium swallow as the initial diagnostic test (56).

CT imaging should be reserved for cases where barium studies are non-diagnostic, complications are suspected, or surgical planning requires detailed anatomical information. The routine use of CT for all suspected cases is not cost-effective and exposes patients to unnecessary radiation (57).

Multidisciplinary Evaluation

Complex cases benefit from multidisciplinary team evaluation involving gastroenterologists, thoracic surgeons, and radiologists. This approach ensures

comprehensive assessment and optimal treatment planning, particularly for high-risk patients or those with multiple comorbidities (58).

Conclusions

The diagnosis of paraesophageal hernias requires a systematic approach combining clinical assessment, appropriate imaging studies, and functional evaluation. While barium studies remain the gold standard for diagnosis, CT imaging provides valuable anatomical detail for surgical planning. Early recognition and appropriate management are crucial for preventing life-threatening complications. Future advances in imaging technology and artificial intelligence applications promise to improve diagnostic accuracy and patient outcomes. Healthcare providers must maintain high clinical suspicion for these potentially dangerous hernias, particularly in elderly patients presenting with chest pain, dyspnea, or upper gastrointestinal symptoms.

REFERENCES:

1. Stylopoulos N, Gazelle GS, Rattner DW. Paraesophageal hernias: operation or observation? *Ann Surg*. 2002;236(4):492-500.
2. Skinner DB, Belsey RH. Surgical management of esophageal reflux and hiatus hernia. Long-term results with 1,030 patients. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg*. 1967;53(1):33-54.
3. Wiechmann RJ, Ferguson MK, Naunheim KS, et al. Laparoscopic management of giant paraesophageal herniation. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 2001;71(4):1080-1086.
4. Collis JL, Kelly TD, Wiley AM. Anatomy of the crura of the diaphragm and the surgery of hiatus hernia. *Thorax*. 1954;9(3):175-189.
5. Akerlund A. Hernia diaphragmatica hiatus oesophagei vom anatomischen und röntgenologischen Gesichtspunkt. *Acta Radiol*. 1926;6:3-22.
6. Allison PR. Reflux esophagitis, sliding hiatal hernia, and the anatomy of repair. *Surg Gynecol Obstet*. 1951;92(4):419-431.
7. Maziak DE, Todd TR, Pearson FG. Massive hiatus hernia: evaluation and surgical management. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg*. 1998;115(1):53-60.
8. Friedland GW, Kohatsu S. Radiological diagnosis of sliding hiatal hernia: the value of the upright view. *Radiology*. 1968;91(2):327-333.
9. Luketich JD, Raja S, Fernando HC, et al. Laparoscopic repair of giant paraesophageal hernia: 100 consecutive cases. *Ann Surg*. 2000;232(4):608-618.

10. Schauer PR, Ikramuddin S, McLaughlin R, et al. Comparison of laparoscopic versus open repair of paraesophageal hernia. *Am J Surg*. 1998;176(6):659-665.

11. Pierre AF, Luketich JD, Fernando HC, et al. Results of laparoscopic repair of giant paraesophageal hernias: 200 consecutive patients. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 2002;74(6):1909-1915.

12. Treacy PJ, Jamieson GG. An approach to the management of para-oesophageal hiatus hernias. *Aust N Z J Surg*. 1987;57(11):813-817.

13. Hashemi M, Peters JH, DeMeester TR, et al. Laparoscopic repair of large type III hiatal hernia: objective followup reveals high recurrence rate. *J Am Coll Surg*. 2000;190(5):553-560.

14. Borchardt M. Zur Pathologie und Therapie des Magenvolvulus. *Arch Klin Chir*. 1904;74:243-260.

15. Peterson M, Petrus M, Segura-Sampedro JJ, et al. Laparoscopic approach to emergent paraesophageal hernia repair. *Surg Endosc*. 2015;29(8):2407-2411.

16. Cameron AJ, Higgins JA. Linear gastric erosion. A lesion associated with large diaphragmatic hernia and chronic blood loss anemia. *Gastroenterology*. 1986;91(2):338-342.

17. Casabella F, Sinanan M, Horgan S, et al. Systematic use of gastric fundoplication in laparoscopic repair of paraesophageal hernias. *Am J Surg*. 1996;171(5):485-489.

18. Ackroyd R, Watson DI, Majeed AW, et al. Randomized clinical trial of laparoscopic versus open fundoplication for gastro-oesophageal reflux disease. *Br J Surg*. 2004;91(8):975-982.

19. Frantzides CT, Madan AK, Carlson MA, et al. A prospective, randomized trial of laparoscopic polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) patch repair vs simple cruroplasty for large hiatal hernia. *Arch Surg*. 2002;137(6):649-652.

20. Granderath FA, Schweiger UM, Kamolz T, et al. Laparoscopic Nissen fundoplication with prosthetic hiatal closure reduces postoperative intrathoracic wrap migration: preliminary results of a prospective randomized functional and clinical study. *Arch Surg*. 2005;140(1):40-48.

21. Oeschlager BK, Pellegrini CA, Hunter JG, et al. Biologic prosthesis to prevent recurrence after laparoscopic paraesophageal hernia repair: long-term follow-up from a multicenter, prospective, randomized trial. *J Am Coll Surg*. 2011;213(4):461-468.

22. Low DE, Simchuk EJ. Effect of paraesophageal hernia repair on pulmonary function. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 2002;74(2):333-337.

23. Williamson WA, Ellis FH Jr, Streitz JM Jr, et al. Paraesophageal hiatal hernia: is an antireflux procedure necessary? *Ann Thorac Surg*. 1993;56(3):447-451.

24. Jobe BA, Aye RW, Deveney CW, et al. Laparoscopic management of giant type III hiatal hernia and short esophagus. Objective follow-up at three years. *J Gastrointest Surg*. 2002;6(2):181-188.

25. Watson DI, Davies N, Devitt PG, et al. Importance of dissection of the short gastric vessels in laparoscopic Nissen fundoplication. *Arch Surg*. 1999;134(7):709-711.

26. Cameron AJ. Barrett's esophagus: prevalence and size of hiatal hernia. *Am J Gastroenterol*. 1999;94(8):2054-2059.

27. Hill LD, Kozarek RA, Kraemer SJ, et al. The gastroesophageal flap valve: in vitro and in vivo observations. *Gastrointest Endosc*. 1996;44(5):541-547.

28. Тешаев, О. Р., & Жумаев, Н. А. (2023). БЛИЖАЙШИЕ РЕЗУЛЬТАТЫ ХИРУРГИЧЕСКОГО ЛЕЧЕНИЯ ОЖИРЕНИЙ. Евразийский журнал медицинских и естественных наук, 3(2), 200- 208. 25.

29. Khaitov, I. B., & Jumaev, N. A. (2023). SIMULTANEOUS OPERATION: LIVER ECHINOCOCCOSIS AND SLEEVE RESECTION (CLINICAL CASE)

30. Teshaev, O. R., Ruziev, U. S., Murodov, A. S., & Zhumaev, N. A. (2019). THE EFFECTIVENESS OF BARIATRIC AND METABOLIC SURGERY IN THE TREATMENT OF OBESITY. *Toshkent tibbiyot akademiyasi axborotnomasi*, (5), 132-138.

31. Тешаев, О. Р., Рузиев, У. С., Тавашаров, Б. Н., & Жумаев, Н. А. (2020). Эффективность бариатрической и метаболической хирургии в лечении ожирения. *Медицинские новости*, (6 (309)), 64-66.

32. Teshaev, O. R., Rakhmonova, N. A., Jumaev, N., & Babadjanov, A. O. (2020). A review of spreading ways, features of diagnosis and treatment of coronavirus infection. *Central Asian Journal of Medicine*, (3), 119-134.

33. DeMeester TR, Bonavina L, Albertucci M. Nissen fundoplication for gastroesophageal reflux disease. Evaluation of primary repair in 100 consecutive patients. *Ann Surg*. 1986;204(1):9-20.

34. Horgan S, Eubanks TR, Jacobsen G, et al. Repair of paraesophageal hernias. *Am J Surg*. 1999;177(4):354-358.

35. Swanstrom LL, Marcus DR, Galloway GQ. Laparoscopic Nissen fundoplication: preliminary report of 100 cases. *Surg Laparosc Endosc*. 1993;3(2):138-143.

36. Patti MG, Goldberg HI, Arcerito M, et al. Hiatal hernia size affects lower esophageal sphincter function, esophageal acid exposure, and the degree of mucosal injury. *Am J Surg*. 1996;171(2):182-186.

37. Kamolz T, Granderath FA, Schweiger UM, et al. Laparoscopic Nissen fundoplication in patients with nonerosive reflux disease. Long-term quality-of-life assessment and outcome. *Surg Endosc*. 2005;19(4):494-500.

38. Furnée EJ, Hazebroek EJ. Mesh in laparoscopic large hiatal hernia repair: a systematic review of the literature. *Surg Endosc*. 2013;27(11):3998-4008.

39. Memon MA, Yunus RM, Khan S, et al. Assessment of laparoscopic repair of large hiatal hernia with mesh: long-term follow-up. *World J Gastroenterol*. 2009;15(34):4305-4310.

40. Kohn GP, Price RR, DeMeester SR, et al. Guidelines for the management of hiatal hernia. *Surg Endosc*. 2013;27(12):4409-4428.

41. Rathore MA, Andrabi SI, Bhatti MI, et al. Metaanalysis of recurrence after laparoscopic repair of paraesophageal hernia. *JSLS*. 2007;11(4):456-460.

42. Stadlhuber RJ, Sherif AE, Mittal SK, et al. Mesh complications after prosthetic reinforcement of hiatal closure: a 28-case series. *Surg Endosc*. 2009;23(6):1219-1226.

43. Telem DA, Altieri M, Gracia G, et al. Perioperative outcome of paraesophageal hernia repair in elderly patients. *Am J Surg*. 2014;208(5):754-758.

44. Stylopoulos N, Rattner DW. The history of hiatal hernia surgery: from Bowditch to laparoscopy. *Ann Surg*. 2005;241(1):185-193.

45. Velanovich V. The development of the GERD-HRQL symptom severity instrument. *Dis Esophagus*. 2007;20(2):130-134.

46. Watson DI, Jamieson GG, Baigrie RJ, et al. Laparoscopic surgery for gastro-oesophageal reflux: beyond the learning curve. *Br J Surg*. 1996;83(9):1284-1287.

47. Whitson BA, Hoang CD, Boettcher AK, et al. Wedge resection and radiofrequency ablation for early stage non-small cell lung cancer. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 2007;84(4):1143-1149.

48. Zehetner J, DeMeester SR, Ayazi S, et al. Laparoscopic versus open repair of paraesophageal hernia: the second decade. *J Am Coll Surg*. 2011;212(5):813-820.

49. Antoniou SA, Müller-Stich BP, Antoniou GA, et al. Laparoscopic augmentation of the diaphragmatic hiatus with biologic mesh versus suture repair: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Langenbecks Arch Surg*. 2015;400(5):577-583.

50. Braghetto I, Csendes A, Burdiles P, et al. Laparoscopic repair of large paraesophageal hiatal hernia: lessons learned after 100 cases. *J Gastrointest Surg*. 2006;10(6):798-805.

51. Carrott PW, Hong J, Markar SR, et al. Iron deficiency anemia is associated with symptom burden in patients with large paraesophageal hernias. *J Gastrointest Surg*. 2012;16(9):1682-1687.

52. Dallemagne B, Weerts J, Markiewicz S, et al. Clinical results of laparoscopic fundoplication at ten years after surgery. *Surg Endosc*. 2006;20(1):159-165.

53. Davis SS Jr. Current controversies in paraesophageal hernia repair. *Surg Clin North Am*. 2005;85(3):411-432.

54. Diaz S, Brunt LM, Klingensmith ME, et al. Laparoscopic paraesophageal hernia repair, a challenging operation: medium-term outcome of 116 patients. *J Gastrointest Surg*. 2003;7(1):59-66.

55. Edye M, Salky B, Posner A, et al. Sac excision is essential to adequate laparoscopic repair of paraesophageal hernia. *Surg Endosc*. 1998;12(10):1259-1263.

56. Galvani CA, Gorodner MV, Moser F, et al. Laparoscopic repair of paraesophageal hernias. *Surg Endosc*. 2006;20(3):450-454.

57. Hashemi M, Peters JH, DeMeester TR, et al. Laparoscopic repair of large type III hiatal hernia: objective followup reveals high recurrence rate. *J Am Coll Surg*. 2000;190(5):553-560.

58. Horgan S, Eubanks TR, Jacobsen G, et al. Repair of paraesophageal hernias. *Am J Surg*. 1999;177(4):354-358.